Washington, Saturday, July 30, 1955

## TITLE 3—THE PRESIDENT **EXECUTIVE ORDER 10624**

REGULATIONS RELATING TO PERSONNEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AS-SIGNED TO SERVICE ABROAD

By virtue of the authority vested in me by sections 602 (d) 603, and 605 of Title VI of the act of August 28, 1954, 68 Stat. 908, 909, and by section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code, and as President of the United States, I hereby prescribe the following regulations relating to personnel of the Department of Agriculture assigned to service abroad:

SECTION 1. (a) The provisions of Part II-Procedures for Coordination Abroad—of Executive Order No. 10575 of November 6, 1954, shall be applicable to the official activities of persons assigned abroad under authority of Title VI of the said act of August 28, 1954.

(b) The Secretary of Agriculture shall institute and maintain such measures consistent with the said Part II as may be necessary to insure that the official activities of persons assigned abroad under the said Title VI are carried on consonant with United States foreign-policy objectives as defined by the Secretary of State and are effectively coordinated with the activities of representatives of other United States agencies, under the leadership of the Chief of the United States Diplomatic Mission.

(c) Consistent with subsections (a) and (b) of this section, the Secretary of Agriculture shall issue instructions on agricultural matters to persons assigned abroad under authority of the said Title

Sec. 2. Rules and regulations prescribed by the Secretary of State under authority vested in him by Title IX of the Foreign Service Act of 1946, as heretofore-or hereafter amended, or under authority thereunder heretofore or hereafter delegated to him by the President, with respect to allowances and benefits under-the said Title IX shall be applicable to personnel assigned abroad under Title VI of the said act of August 28, 1954: Provided, (1) that the Secretary of State, upon request of the Secretary of Agriculture, may prescribe, within existmg authority of law and when deemed necessary, special rules and regulations for such personnel; and (2) that the Secretary of Agriculture may, within the limitation of such rules and regulations, prescribe necessary implementing directions. The Secretary of Agriculture may designate employees of the Department of Agriculture to make specific determinations and take specific actions in the application of such rules and regulations to the activities of the Department of Agriculture.

Sec. 3. Such provisions in annual appropriation acts of the Department of State, including such acts hereafter enacted, facilitating the work of the Foreign Service of the United States as the Director of the Bureau of the Budget shall from time to time determine appropriate shall be applicable to activities authorized under Title VI of the said act of August 28, 1954.

This order shall be effective as of September 1, 1954.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

THE WHITE HOUSE, July 28, 1955.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6222; Filed, July 29, 1955; 10:03 a. m.]

## TITLE 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 6-EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Effective upon publication in the Fen-ERAL REGISTER, paragraphs (a) (12), (13) and (14), and (c) (5) and (k) (4) are added to § 6.110 as set out below.

§ 6.110 Department of the Interior— (a) General. \* \* \*

(12) Subject to prior approval of the Commission, temporary, intermittent, or seasonal positions at GS-7 and below in nonprofessional mining activities in Alaska, such as drillers, miners, caterpillar operators and samplers, not to exceed 180 working days a year, when the activity is carried on in a remote or isolated area, there is no Board of U.S. Civil Service Examiners to service the employing establishment, and there is

(Continued on p. 5447)

## **CONTENTS**

THE	PR	ESIE	ENT
-----	----	------	-----

THE PRESIDENT	
Executive Order Regulations relating to personnel of Department of Agriculture	Page
assigned to service abroad EXECUTIVE AGENCIES	5445
Agricultural Marketing Service Proposed rule making: Milk handling:	
Oklahoma City, Okla South Bend-La Porte, Ind	5461
Rules and regulations:	5461
Lemons in California and Ari-	E450
zona Milk handling:	5450
Chicago, Ill	5450
Milwaukee, Wis South Bend-La Porte, Ind	5448 5451
Oranges Valencia in Argona	949T
Oranges, Valencia, in Arizona and part of California	5449
Agricultural Research Service Proposed rule making: Recognition of breeds and books of record of purebred an-	0110
imals	5462
Rules and regulations:	
Khapra beetle quarantine; ad-	
ministrative instructions des- ignating premises as regulated	
areas	5447
	OTT
Agniculture Department See Agricultural Marketing Serv-	
ice: Agricultural Research Serv-	
ice: Commodity Credit Corpora-	
tion; Commodity Stabilization	
Service.	
Army Department Rules and regulations:	
	5457
Recerve Officers' Training	010.
Corps: organization and	
training of units; conditions	
for enrollment in specific	E4E0
course	5456
Civil Aeronautics Administra-	
tion	
Rules and regulations:	
Standard instrument approach	

procedure alterations\_\_\_\_\_

Ozark Airlines, Inc., postpone-

ment of prehearing confer-

Civil Aeronautics Board

Notices:

5452

5471



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C. The Federal Registers will be furnished by

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles, pursuant

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL

REGULATIONS.

## **CFR SUPPLEMENTS**

(For use during 1955)

The following Supplements are now available:

Title 33 (\$1.50)

Title 46: Part 146 to end (\$1.25)

Previously announced: Title 3, 1954 Supp. (\$1.75); Titles 4-5 (\$0.70); Title 6 (\$2.00); Title 7. Parts 1-209 (\$0.60); Parts 210-899 (\$2.50); Part 900 to end (\$2.25); Title 8 (\$0.45); Title 9 (\$0.65); Titles 10-13 (\$0.50); Title 14: Parts 1-399 (\$2.25); Part 400 to end (\$0.65); Title 15 (\$1.25); Title 16 (\$1.25); Title 17 (\$0.55); Title 18 (\$0.50); Title 19 (\$0.40); Title 20 (\$0.75); Title 21 (\$1.75); Titles 22-23 (\$0.75); Title 24 (\$0.75); Title 25 (\$0.50); Title 26: Parts 1-79 (\$0.35); Parts 80-169 (\$0.50); Parts 170-182 (\$0.50); Parts 183-299 (\$0.30); Part 300 to end and Title 27 (\$1.25); Titles 28-29 (\$1.25); Titles 30-31 (\$1.25); Title 32A, Revised December 31, 1954 (\$1.50); Titles 35-37 (\$0.75); Title 38 (\$2.00); Title 39 (\$0.75); Titles 40-42 (\$0.50); Titles 44-45 (\$0.75); Title 46: Parts 1-145 (\$0.40); Titles 47-48 (\$1.25); Title 49: Parts 1-70 (\$0.60); Parts 71-90 (\$0.75); Parts 91-164 (\$0.50); Part 165 to end (\$0.60); Title 50 (\$0.55)

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

## **CONTENTS—Continued**

## Civil Aeronautics Board-Con. Page Proposed rule making: Certification and operation rules for scheduled air carrier operations outside continental limits of U. S., flight time limitations for pilots not regularly assigned to one type of crew\_\_\_\_\_ 5466 Civil Service Commission Rules and regulations: Exceptions from competitive service; Interior Department. 5445 **Commerce Department** See Civil Aeronautics Administration. **Commodity Credit Corporation** Rules and regulations: Grain sorghums, 1955-crop, loan and purchase agreement program; basic county support 5447 rates\_\_\_\_\_ Commodity Stabilization Service See also Commodity Credit Corporation. Rules and regulations: Wheat marketing quotas for 1955 crop; dates by which acreage must be utilized as cover crop\_\_\_\_\_ **Defense Department** See Army Department. Federal Communications Commission Rules and regulations: Radio broadcast services; television broadcast stations\_\_\_\_ Federal Housing Administration Rules and regulations: Insurance; miscellaneous amendments\_\_\_\_\_ 5475 Federal Power Commission Notices: Hearings, etc.. Carr, A. Plack\_\_\_ 5472 Edgerton, Halsey C., et al\_\_\_\_ James, T. L., and Co., Inc., et al\_\_. 5472 5471 Lowry, Tim G\_\_\_\_\_ Midstates Oil Corp\_\_\_\_\_ 5472 Orange Grove Oil & Gas Co. and H. J. Mosser\_\_\_ 5473 Slick-Moorman Oil Co. et al\_ 5471 General Services Administration Notices: Federal office building; prospectus for proposed building m southwestern portion of District of Columbia\_\_\_\_\_ Interior Department See Land Management Bureau. Interstate Commerce Commis-

Fourth section applications for relief 5473

## **CONTENTS—Continued**

Interstate Commerce Commis-	Pago
sion—Continued Proposed rule making:	
Rules of procedure	5466
Land Management Bureau Notices:	
Idaho; proposed withdrawal	
and reservation of lands	5467
Rules and regulations:  Montana, reservation of public	
lands in connection with Bull	
Mountain Game Refuge	5457
Securities and Exchange Com-	
mission	
Notices: Dodge & Cox Fund; filing for	
	5473
Veterans Administration	
Rules and regulations:	
Title III, loan guaranty	5476
CODIFICATION GUIDE	
A numerical list of the parts of the of Federal Regulations affected by documents	Code
published in this issue. Proposed rul opposed to final actions, are identifi	es, as
opposed to final actions, are identifications.	ed as
such.	
Title 3	Pago
Chapter II (Executive orders) 10575 (see EO 10624)	5445
10624	5445
Title 5	
Chapter I.	
Part 6	5445
Title 6	
Chapter IV	
Part 421	5447
Title 7	
Chapter III. Part 301	5447
Chapter VII.	0111
Part 728	5448
Chapter IX. Part 905 (proposed)	5161
Part 907	5448
Part 922	5449
Part 941	5450
Part 953	5450 5451
Proposed rules	5461
Title 9	
Chapter I:	
Part 151 (proposed)	5462
Title 14	
Chapter I. Part 41 (proposed)	5466
Chapter II.	0100
Part 609	5452
Title 24	
Chapter II. Part 221	EARC
Part 225	5475 5476
Part 261	5476
Part 266	5476
Part 294	.5476
Title 32	
Chapter V Part 562	5456
Part 571	5457

Title 38	Page
Chapter I:	
Part 36	5476
Title 43	
Chapter I.	
Appendix (Public land orders)	
1197	5457
Title 47	
Chapter I:	
Part 3	5457
Title 49	
Chapter I.	
Part 1 (proposed)	5466

a shortage of available candidates for the positions.

(13) Subject to prior approval of the Commission, temporary part-time, or intermittent employment of mechanics, skilled laborers, equipment operators and tradesmen on construction, repair, or maintenance work for not to exceed 180 working days a year in Alaska, when the activity is carried on in a remote or isolated area, there is no Board of U.S. Civil Service Examiners to service the employing establishment, and there is a shortage of available candidates for the positions.

(14) Seasonal airplane pilots and airplane mechanics in Alaska, not to exceed 180 working days a year.

(c) Bureau of Indian Affairs. \* \* \*

(5) Subject to prior approval of the Commission, assistants in Alaska native schools (not including teachers and instructors) at a salary rate not in excess of that of GS-4 or its equivalent where the schools are in isolated or remote areas or lack suitable quarters.

(k) Office of Territories. \* \* \*

(4) Until December 31, 1955, all positions in the Alaska Railroad.

(R. S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403; 5 U. S. C. 631, 633; E. O. 10440, March 31, 1953, 18 F. R. 1823, 3 CFR 1953 Supp.)

> United States Civil Serv-ICE COMMISSION, WM. C. HULL, Executive Assistant.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6221; Filed, July 29, 1955; 9:07 a. m.]

[SEAL]

## TITLE 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

- Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture -

Subchapter B-Loans, Purchases, and Other Operations

[1955 C. C. C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 1, Amdt. 1, Grain Sorghums]

> PART 421-GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

SUBPART-1955-CROP GRAIN SORGHUMS LOAN AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT PRO-GRALI

COUNTY SUPPORT RATES; NEW MEXICO

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation and the Com-

modity Stabilization Service published in 20 F. R. 3701 and containing the specific requirements for the 1955-Crop Grain Sorghums Price Support Program are hereby amended as follows:

Section 421.1233 (c) (1) is amended by increasing the basic county support rate per 100 pounds for Hidalgo County, New Mexico, from \$1.72 to \$1.85 and for Quay County, New Mexico, from \$1.61 to \$1.66. (Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070 as amended; 15 U.S. C. 714b. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072; secs. 301, 401, 63 Stat. 1053; 15 U. S. C. 714; 7 U. S. C. 1447, 1421)

Issued this 27th day of July 1955.

EARL M. HUGHES, Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6183; Filed, July 29, 1955;

## TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter III—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

[P. P. C. 612, Revised, Supp. 2]

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

## SUBPART—KHAPRA BEETLE

AMENDMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE INSTRUC-TIONS DESIGNATING PREMISES AS REGU-LATED AREAS UNDER REGULATIONS SUPPLEMENTAL TO KHAPRA BEETLE QUAR-ANTINE

Pursuant to § 301.76-2 of the regulations supplemental to the Khapra Beetle Quarantine (§ 301.76-2, 20 F. R. 1012) under section 8 of the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, as amended (7 U.S. C. 161), revised administrative instructions issued as § 301.76-2a (20 F R. 4361), effective June 22, 1955, as amended effective July 13, 1955 (20 F. R. 4979) are hereby amended in the following respects:

a. The designation as regulated areas of the following warehouses, mills, and other premises, included in the list contained in such instructions, is hereby revoked, and the reference to such premuses in the list is hereby deleted, it having been determined by the Chief of the Plant Pest Control Branch that adequate sanitation measures have been practiced for a sufficient length of time to eradicate the khapra beetle in and upon such premises:

Haffey's Market, Beal Street, Kingman. Stranges Market, 867 Second Avenue, Ajo.

Belluomini Milling Corp., 1616 U Street, Bakersfield.

Currier Bros. Feed Store, 2325 Myers Street, Oroville.

Cutter Grain & Milling Co., west side of

Santa Fe RR., Corcoran.

General Mills, Inc., Warehouse, 320 A Street, Yuba City

Grange Co., 1152 G Street, Freeno. J. C. Hatfield Ranch, Dahlia Canal, P. O. Box 667, Imperial.

J. B. Hill Co., Selma. Imperial Hay Growers' Accoclation, West

Main and Rio Vista, Brawley.

Northrup-King & Co., 324 A Street, Yuba

[P. R. Doc. 55-6172; Filed, July 29, 1955;

San Joaquin Crops, 1600 T Street, Bakersfleld.

Titsworth Milling Co., Calipatria Highway,

Yuba City Mills, 324 A Street, Yuba City.

b. The following premises are added to the list, contained in such instructions, of warehouses, mills, and other premises in which infestations of the khapra beetle have been determined to exist. Such premises are thereby designated as regulated areas within the meaning of said quarantine and regulations:

Frank Kornegay Farm (storage bins), 10th Street and Avenue D, Yuma.

Long's Dairy (barn), Buckeye. South Central Feed & Supply (warehouse), 3710 South Central Avenue, Phoenix.

## CALIFORNIA

DaRadd Warehouse, 400 E Street, Lemoore. C. P. Hiles Ranch, Route 5, Box 2309, Oroville.

Pauba Ranch Mill & Feed Yard (Vall Co., owner), north side of Highway 71, 3½ miles north of junction with Highway 395, south of

This amendment shall be effective July 30, 1955.

This amendment revokes the designation as regulated areas of a number of warehouses, mills, and other premises, it having been determined by the Chief of the Plant Pest Control Branch that adequate sanitation measures have been practiced for a sufficient length of time to eradicate the khapra beetle in and upon such premises. It also adds premises to the list of warehouses, mills, and other premises in which khapra beetle infestations have been determined to exist, and designates such premises as regulated areas under the khapra beetle quarantine and regulations.

This amendment in part imposes restrictions supplementing khapra beetle quarantine regulations already effective. It also relieves restrictions insofar as it revokes the designation of presently regulated areas. It must be made effective promptly in order to carry out the purposes of the regulations and to permit unrestricted movement of regulated products from the premises being removed from designation as regulated areas. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the foregoing amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making the effective date thereof less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Secs. 1, 3, 33 Stat. 1269, 1270, sec. 9, 37 Stat. 318; 7 U. S. C. 141, 143, 162. Interprets or applies cec. 8, 37 Stat. 318, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 161)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 27th day of July 1955.

[SEAT.]

W. L. POPHAM, Chief.

8:49 a. m.]

## Chapter VII — Commodity Stabilization Service (Farm Marketing Quotas and Acreage Allotments), Department of Agriculture

[Amdt. 5]

## PART 728-WHEAT

SUBPART-WHEAT MARKETING QUOTAS FOR THE 1955 CROP

DATES BY WHICH WHEAT ACREAGE MUST BE UTILIZED AS WHEAT COVER CROP IN CER-TAIN STATES

The amendment herein is issued under the wheat marketing quota provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended, for the purpose of publishing and revising the dates in certain counties or areas within a county by which wheat acreage on a farm must be utilized as wheat cover crop in the States of Idaho, North Carolina, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Washington and Wisconsin.

Since the only purpose of this amendment is to publish or revise the dates by which wheat must be used as wheat cover crop in certain counties in these States and since the farmers involved have already been informed in writing of the dates, it is hereby found that compliance with the notice, procedure, and 30-day effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Therefore, the amendment herein shall become effective upon filing of this document with the Director, Division of the Federal Register.

Section 728.551 (r) insofar as it relates to the counties listed below, is further amended as follows:

## IDAHO

## Elevation under 3,500 feet

August 1, 1955: Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Clearwater, Idaho, Kootenai, Latah, Lewis, Nez Perce.

## Elevation over 3,500 feet

August 15, 1955: Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Clearwater, Idaho, Kootenai, Latah, Lewis, Nez Perce.

## Irrigated

July 15, 1955: Bannock, Franklin, Oneida. July 30, 1955: Caribou. August 1, 1955: Camas.

## Nonirrigated

July 15, 1955: Bannock, Caribou, Franklin, Oneida.

August 1, 1955: Camas.

## NORTH CAROLINA

May 31, 1955: All Counties.

## Winter Wheat

July 1, 1955: Gilliam under 2,000 feet elevation, Malheur under 3,000 feet elevation, Morrow under 2,000 feet elevation, Sherman, Umatilla under 2,000 feet elevation, Wasco. July 15, 1955: Grant under 2,000 feet ele-

vation, Harney, Malheur over 3,000 feet elevation, Morrow over 3,000 feet elevation, Union.

July 20, 1955: Jefferson (non-irrigated).
July 25, 1955: Gilliam over 2,000 feet elevation.

August 1, 1955: Crook, Deschutes, Jefferson (irrigated) Klamath (nonirrigated), Lake, Umatilla over 2,000 feet elevation, Wheeler.

August 15, 1955: Grant over 2,000 feet elevation, Klamath (irrigated).

## Spring Wheat

August 1, 1955: Wasco. August 10, 1955: Union.

## TENNESSEE

May 31, 1955: All counties.

## TEXAS

May 15, 1955: Archer, Armstrong, Atascosa, Bailey, Bandera, Baylor, Bexar, Blanco, Borden, Briscoe, Brown, Carson, Castro, Chil-dress, Clay, Cochran, Collingsworth, Comal, dress, Clay, Cochran, Collingsworth, Comai, Cottle, Crosby, Culberson, Dawson, Deaf Smith, Dickens, Donely, Edwards, Floyd, Foard, Gaines, Garza, Gillespie, Glasscock, Gray, Hale, Hall, Hardeman, Haskell, Hemp-hill, Hockley, Howard, Jack, Kendall, Kent, Kerr, Kimble, King, Knox, Lamb, Llano, Lubbock, Lynn, McCulloch, Mason, Martin, Maverick, Medina, Midland, Mills, Mitchell, Montague, Motley, Oldham, Parmer, Pecos, Potter, Randall, Reeves, Roberts, San Saba, Schleicher, Scurry, Stonewall, Swisher, Terry, Throckmorton, Uvalde, Wheeler, Wichita, Wil.

barger, Wise, Yoakum, Young, and Zavala. May 20, 1955: Dallam, Hansford, Hartley, Hutchinson, Lipscomb, Moore, Ochiltree, and Sherman.

## WASHINGTON

County	Area and type of wheat	Dates
Adams	Winter wheat	June 30, 1955 July 20, 1955
Benton	Winter wheat (irrigated)	July 20, 1955 June 25, 1955 July 15, 1955
Chelan	(no change). Area A: Spring wheat Winter wheat Area B:	Sept. 1, 1955 Aug. 25, 1955
Columbia	Winter wheat	Aug. 10, 1955 July 25, 1955
	Winter wheat Spring wheat Huntsville, Columbia, Dayton, Whitstone and Turner com-	June 25, 1955 July 10, 1955
	munities: Winter wheat Spring wheat Dittemore and Star communities:	July 5, 1955 July 20, 1955
Douglas	Winter wheat Spring wheat Winter wheat	July 10, 1955 July 30, 1955 July 10, 1955
Ferry	Spring wheat Winter wheat Spring wheat	Aug. 10, 1955 July 15, 1955
Franklin Garfield	Central Ferry area Dodge Ping, Gould City	July 30, 1955 June 25, 1955 June 15, 1955 June 25, 1955
	area. Mayview, Pataha Flat, Zumwalt, Dutch Flat and Lower Alpowa	July 10, 1955
	areas. Upper Alpowa, Peola, Columbia Center and Scoggin area.	July 25, 1955
Grant	All areas except subirri- gated section of Wilson Creek community.	June 30, 1955
	Wilson Creek (subirrigated section) (no change).	Aug. 10, 1955
Kittitas Klickitat	East of Rock Creek and the Goodnoe bills area:	Aug. 10, 1955
	Winter wheat Spring wheat West of Rock Creek and west of Goodnoe Hills.area:	June 30, 1955 July 10, 1955
	Winter wheat Spring wheat	July 10, 1955 July 30, 1955
Lincoln	North of Highway No. 2 and Davenport-Har- rington Tokio Road east (no change).	June 25, 1955
	South of Highway No. 2.	July 10, 1955
Okanogan	A-B-H-M communities: Winter wheat Spring wheat. C-D-E-F-G-I-J-K-L-	June 20, 1955 July 10, 1955
	N-O communities: Winter wheat Spring wheat	July 20, 1955 Aug. 10, 1955

WASHINGTON-Continued

County	Area and type of wheat	D	ntes
Pend Oreille Spokane	Area due west on north boundary of township 24 to where it inter- cedes the cast bound- ary of range 42 and then due south to		15, 1955 5, 1955
	county line. . All the remainder of the	July	20, 1955
Stovens	county. Winter wheat Spring wheat		18, 1955 1, 1955
Walla Walla	Dryland areas below ele- vation of airport.	July	
	All other dryland areas and irrigated section.	Aug.	1, 1955
Yakima	Lower Valley and Glado. Central area Upper Valley	Aug.	30, 1955 10, 1955 31, 1955

## WISCONSIN.

June 20, 1955: Adams, Columbia, Crawford, Dane, Dodge, Dunn, Eau Claire, Fond du Lac, Grant, Green, Greenlake, Iowa, Jackson, Jefferson, Juneau, Konosha, La Crosse, Lafayette, Marquette, Milwaukee, Monroe, Ozaukee, Pepin, Portage, Racine, Richland, Rock, Sauk, Trempealeau, Vernon, Walworth, Washington, Waukesha, Waushara, and Winnebago.

The dates and other information shown in Amendment 1 to the 1955 Wheat Marketing Quota Regulations (20 F R. 2969) shall be applicable to all counties not listed above.

(Sec. 375, 52 Stat. 66, as amended: 7 U. S. C. 1375. Interprets or applies sec. 374, 52 Stat. 38, as amended; 68 Stat. 904; 7 U. S. C. 1374)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 27th day of July 1955.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F R. Doc. 55-6182; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:51 a. m.]

## Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Docket No. AO-212-A101

PART 907-MILK IN MILWAUKEE, WIS., MARKETING AREA

ORDER AMENDING THE ORDER, AS AMENDED, REGULATING HANDLING

§ 907.0 Findings and determinations. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and each of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) Findings upon the basis of the hearing record. Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.) and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on June 15 and 16, 1955, upon proposed amendments to the tentative

marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is hereby found that:

(1) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and all of the terms and conditions of said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(2) The parity prices of milk produced for sale in said marketing area as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which effect market supply and demand for such milk and the minimum prices specified in the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing

has been held.

(b) Additional findings. It is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making effective this order, amending the order, as amended, prior to 30 days after publication in the FED-ERAL REGISTER. This action is necessary m the public interest to reflect current marketing conditions. Accordingly, delay in the effective date of this order to 30 days after publication, will seriously impair orderly marketing of milk in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin, marketing area. The provisions of the said amendatory order are well known to handlers, the public hearing having been held on June 15 and 16, 1955, a recommended decision having been issued on July 7, 1955, and a final decision, on July 21, 1955. Reasonable time, under the circumstances, has been afforded persons affected to prepare for its effective date. Therefore, it would be impractible, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this amendatory order 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (see section 4 (c) Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.)

(c) Determination. It is hereby determined that handlers (excluding cooperative associations of producers who are not engaged in processing, distributing or shipping the milk covered by this order amending the order, as amended, which is marketed within the Milwaukee, Wisconsın, marketing area) of more than 50 percent of the milk which is marketed within the said marketing area, refused or failed to sign the proposed marketing agreement regulating the handling of milk in the said marketing area, and it is hereby further determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of such handlers to sign said proposed marketing agreement tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the act;

(2) The issuance of this order amending the order, as amended, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the act of advancing the interests of producers of milk which is produced for sale in the said marketing area: and

(3) The issuance of this order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period (May 1955) were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the said marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and the aforesaid order, as amended, is hereby further amended as follows:

1. In § 907.51 (a) delete the words "current supply-demand ratio" and the words "supply-demand ratio", and substitute therefor, in both instances, the words "adjusted supply-demand ratio"

2. In § 907.51 (a) delete the words "3 and substitute the words "2 cents" cents"

3. In § 907.51 (e) delete subparagraph (4) and substitute the following:

(4) If the current supply-demand ratio is greater or less than the current supply-demand ratio computed by the market administrator during the third delivery period immediately preceding, add or subtract the difference, respectively, to or from the percentage computed pursuant to subparagraph (3) of this paragraph. The result is the "adjusted supply-demand ratio" and if the current supply-demand ratio does not differ from that computed during the third delivery period preceding, the current supply-demand ratio shall be the, "adjusted supply-demand ratio"

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended: 7 U. S. C.

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 27th day of July to be effective on and after August 1, 1955.

[SEAL]

EARL L. BUTZ, Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6169; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:48 a. m.]

## [Valencia Orange Reg. 47]

PART 922-VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALI-FORNIA

## LIMITATION OF HANDLING

§ 922.347 Valencia Orange Regulation 47—(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to Order No. 22 (19 F. R. 1741), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective March 31, 1954, under

the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S. C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.
(2) It is hereby further found that it

is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the Federal Register (60 Stat. 237; 5 U.S. C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The Valencia Orange Administrative Committee held an open meeting on July 28, 1955, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation: interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein was promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, m order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject thereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof.

(b) Order. (1) The quantity of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., July 31, 1955, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P. s. t., August 7, 1955, is hereby fixed as follows:
(i) District 1. Unlimited movement;

(ii) District 2: 485,100 boxes;

(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement. (2) Valencia oranges handled pur-

suant to the provisions of this section shall be subject to any size restrictions applicable thereto which have heretofore been issued on the handling of such oranges and which are effective during the period specified herein.

(3) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "boxes," "District 1," "District 2," and "District 3," shall have the same meaning as when used in said

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U.S. C.

Dated: July 29, 1955.

S. R. SMITH, [SEAL] Director Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Mar-keting Service.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6231; Filed, July 29, 1955; 11:29 a. m.]

[Docket No. AO-101-A191

PART 941-MILK IN CHICAGO, ILL., MARKETING AREA

ORDER AMENDING THE ORDER, AS AMENDED, REGULATING HANDLING

§ 941.0 Findings and determinations. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and each of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determina-

tions set forth herein.

(a) Findings upon the basis of the hearing record. Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.) and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and orders (7 CFR Part 900) a public hearing was held at Chicago, Illinois, May 9 and 10, 1955, upon proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order. as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Chicago, Illinois, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is hereby found that:

(1) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and all of the terms and conditions of said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, will tend to effectuate the de-

clared policy of the act;
(2) The parity prices of milk produced for sale in said marketing area as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for such milk, and the minimum prices specified in the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing

has been held.

(b) Additional findings. It is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making effective not later than August 1, 1955, this order amending the order, as amended. This action is necessary in the public interest to reflect current marketing conditions. Accordingly, any delay in the effective date of this order beyond the aforesaid date, will seriously impair orderly marketing of milk in the Chicago, Illinois, marketing area. The provisions of the said amendatory order are well known to handlers, the public hearing having been held on May 9 and 10, 1955, a recommended decision having been issued on June 29, 1955 (20 F R. 4688) and a final decision having been issued on July 20, 1955. Reasonable time under the circumstances has been afforded persons affected to prepare for its effective date. Therefore, it would be impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this amendatory order 30 days after its publication in the Federal Register (see section 4 (c) Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.)

(c) Determination. It is hereby determined that handlers (excluding cooperative associations of producers who are not engaged in processing, distributing or shipping the milk covered by this order amending the order, as amended, which is marketed within the Chicago, Illinois, marketing area) of more than 50 percent of the milk which is marketed within the said marketing area, refused or failed to sign the proposed marketing agreement regulating the handling of milk in the said marketing area, and it is hereby further determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of such handlers to sign said proposed marketing agreement tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the

(2) The issuance of this order amending the order, as amended, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the act of advancing the interests of producers of milk which is produced for sale in the said marketing area: and

(3) The issuance of this order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period (March 1955) were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the said marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Chicago, Illinois, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and the aforesaid order, as amended, is hereby further amended as follows:

1. Amend § 941.51 by adding paragraph (d) as follows:

(d) If the current supply-demand ratio is greater or less than the current supply-demand ratio computed by the market administrator during the third delivery period immediately preceding. add or subtract the difference respectively to or from the percentage computed pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section. The result is the "adjusted supply-demand ratio"; and if the current supply-demand ratio does not differ from that computed during the third delivery period preceding, the current supply-demand ratio shall be the "adjusted supply-demand ratio."

2. Amend § 941.52 (a) (1) by deleting the words "current supply-demand ratio" and the words "supply-demand ratio" and substitute therefor in both instances the words "adjusted supplydemand ratio."

3. In § 941.52 (a) (1) delete the words "3 cents" and substitute the words "2 cents."

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended: 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 27th day of July to be effective on and after the 1st day of August 1955.

[CEAT.]

EARL L. BUTZ. Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6168; Filed, July 29, 1965; 8:48 a. m.1

[Lemon Reg. 600]

PART 953—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

## LIMITATIONS OF SHIPMENTS

§ 953.707 Lemon Regulation 600-(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 53, as amended (7 CFR Part 953; 19 F R. 7175; 20 F R. 2913), regulating the handling of lemons grown in the State of California or in the State of Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of the quantity of such lemons which may be handled, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure. and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the Federal Register (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. Shipments of lemons, grown in the State of California or in the State of Arizona, are currently

subject to regulation pursuant to said amended marketing agreement and order; the recommendation and supportmg information for regulation during the period specified herein was promptly submitted to the Department after an open-meeting of the Lemon Administrative Committee on July 27, 1955, such meeting was held, after giving due notice thereof to consider recommendations for regulation, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period hereinafter specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject thereto which cannot be completed by the effective time thereof.

(b) Order (1) The quantity of lemons grown in the State of California or in the State of Arizona which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., July 31, 1955, and ending at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., August 7, 1955, is hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1. Unlimited movement;(ii) District 2: 450 carloads;

(ii) District 2: 450 carloads; (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "carloads," "District 1," "District 2," and

"carloads," "District 1," "District 2," and "District 3" shall have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: July 28, 1955.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,

Director Fruit and Vegetable

Division, Agricultural Mar
keting Service.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6213; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:56 a.m.]

[Docket No. AO-170-A9]

PART 967—MILK IN SOUTH BEND-LA PORTE, IND., MARKETING AREA

ORDER AMENDING ORDER, AS AMENDED, REGULATING HANDLING

§ 967.0 Findings and determinations. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and each of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) Findings upon the basis of the hearing record. Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing

Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at South Bend, Indiana, on June 13, 1955 upon proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is hereby found that:

(1) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and all the terms and conditions of said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(2) The parity prices of milk produced for sale in said marketing area as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for such milk and the minimum prices specified in the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a

hearing has been held.

(b) Additional findings. It is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making effective not later than August 1, 1955, this order amending the order, as amended. This action is necessary in the public interest to reflect current marketing conditions. Accordingly, any delay in the effective date of this order beyond the aforesaid date, will seriously impair orderly marketing of milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area. The provisions of the said amendatory order are well known to handlers, the public hearing having been held on June 13, 1955, a recommended decision having been issued on July 12, 1955, and a final decision having been issued on July 27, 1955.2 Reasonable time under the circumstances has been afforded persons affected to prepare for its effective date. Therefore, it would be impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this amendatory order 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (see section 4 (c), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S. C. 1001 et seq.)

(c) Determination. It is hereby determined that handlers (excluding cooperative associations of producers who are not engaged in processing, distributing or shipping the milk covered by

this order amending the order, as amended, which is marketed within the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area) of more than 50 percent of the milk which is marketed within the said marketing area, refused or failed to sign the proposed marketing agreement regulating the handling of milk in the said marketing area, and it is hereby further determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of such handlers to sign said proposed marketing agreement tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the act;

(2) The issuance of this order amending the order, as amended, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the act of advancing the interests of producers of milk which is produced for sale in the said marketing area; and

(3) The issuance of this order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period (May, 1955) were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the said marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and the aforesaid order, as amended, is hereby further amended as follows:

1. In § 967.51 (a) delete the words "current supply-demand ratio" and the words "supply-demand ratio" and substitute therefor in both instances the words "adjusted supply-demand ratio".

2. In § 967.51 (a) delete the words "3 cents" and substitute the words "2 cents"

3. In § 967.51 (d) delete subparagraph (4) and substitute a new subparagraph (4) as follows:

(4) If the current supply-demand ratio is greater or less than the current supply-demand ratio computed by the market administrator during the third delivery period immediately preceding, add or subtract the difference, respectively, to or from the percentage computed pursuant to subparagraph (3) of this paragraph. The result is the "adjusted supply-demand ratio" and if the current supply-demand ratio does not differ from that computed during the third delivery period preceding, the current supply-demand ratio shall be the "adjusted supply-demand ratio"

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 28th day of July 1955, to be effective on and after the 1st day of August 1955.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Dec. 55-6216; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:50 a.m.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See F. R. Doc. 55-6171 in Proposed Rule Making Section, *infra*.

l

# TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION

# Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce [Amdt 153]

Part 609—Standard Instrument Approach Procedures

PROCEDURE ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Plance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the Compliance with the notice, procedures, an public interest, and therefore is not required Part 609 is amended as follows:

Nors: Where the general classification (LFR VAR ADF ILS GCA or VOR) location and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identical with an existing procedure that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one as of the effective date given to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a procedure is canceled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended

1 The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609 6 are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated Bjevations and aittudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above sirport elevation.
If an LFR instrument approach is conducted at the below named sirport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different spreach procedure are an approach is conducted in accordance with a different and some approach is conducted in accordance with a different area or as set forth below in those established for an route operation in the particular area or as set forth below in the setablished for an route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

					Minimum		Oeiling an	Ociling and visibility minimums	ofnimums	
Olty and State; alreat name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No.	Initial approach to facility	Course and dis	Minimum altitude	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach courso (outbound and inbound);	altitude over facility on	Course and distance, facility to		Type airéraft	Iréraft	If visual contact not established at author ized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if
effective date	-	tance	<u> </u>	aititudes; limiting dis tances	course (ft )	airport	Condition	75 mf. p. h or less	More than 76 m p h	lauding not accomplished
1	8	60	4	20	9	2	8	9	10	11
ALEXANDRIA, MINN. Municipal Alreport, 1,426 BMLZ-VDT-AXN Procedure No.1 Amendment No.6 Effective date; August 27, 1955 Supersetes. No. 6, dated	Alexandria VOR	233—8 5	2 600	W side N course: 000° outbound 180° inbound. 2 600° within 10 miles	2 100	186—2 4	T-dn C-d C-d C-h S-d S-d S-d	2 engines or less 300-13 1 600-15 600-15 1 600-2 1 800-2	\$ 300-1 600-1 600-2 600-2 800-2 800-2	Within 2.4 miles climb to 2 600′ on 8 course (186°) within 10 miles. This procedure not approved for ADF approach. CAUTION: Tank 1,585′ MSL located to left of course after passing low cone; Radio tower 1,573′ located 1.4′ miles SW of LFF. Redio tower 1 665′ MSL located 1 mile E
Anguer 16, 1895 of the defect							P-dn O-d O-d S-d S-d	More than 2 engines dn -d -d -d -d -d -d -d -d	nes 200-15 600-155 600-175 600-175 600-175 800-2	of airport
ATTU, ALASKA Casco Cove, 40 SRAW-NU-2 Procedure No. 1 Amendment 3 Effective date: May 19 1954	PROCEDURE CANCELED AUGUST 1, STATES NAVY)	ED AUGU	7ST 1, 1955		ALL OIVIL AI	RORAFT EX	OEPT IN E	MERGEN	NES OR V	(FIELD CLOSED TO ALL CIVIL AIRCRAFT EXCEPT IN EMERGENCIES OR WITH PRIOR APPROVAL OF UNITED
FORT WAYNE, IND	Fort Wayne VOR	172-7 0	2,200	S side of course:	1 500	051—3 5	3 7 7	engli		Within 3.5 miles, climb to 2 300' on NE
BAYELZ-DYV-FWA. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 5. Sedes No. 6, dated February	Markle FM (final)	051-10.0	1 500	051 inbound. 2 000' within 10 miles	•		Sept Ada Ada Ada Ada Ada Ada Ada Ada Ada Ada	20440	2558 1115	
18, 1934. Effective date: August 27, 1935. Major changes; (1) Item 6 dis- tance revised to 10 miles in accordance with policy; (2) new formst used.				•			A COTA	More than 2 engines din 1	200-1% 200-1% 200-1% 200-2	

LFR Standard Instrument Approach Procedure-Continued

	Colling and visibility minimums	Typo alreaft facility within distance specified.	m.p.h More than landing not accomplished or less 75 m p h	0 10 11	300-1 800-1 800-1 800-1 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	engines or less 300-1 (1900) within 23 miles climb to 1,300' on 8 course 300-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1 (190-1	2 engines or lees 300-1 000 life in a solution in a soluti	2 cnglncs or less 200-1 (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1) (200-1
	Celling and visil		Condition 75 m	8	2 ongines or les 7—dn 800-1 8—dn 18 8—dn 18 7—dn 600-1 7—dn 18 7—dn 18 7—dn 18 7—dn 18 7—dn 18	2 onglass or local and loc	7-4n Code No than 7-4n S-4n 33 A-4n 33 A-4n S-4n 33 A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n	7-4n C-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A-4n A
-	•	Course and distance,	alrport	7	184-2.4	1652, 3	320-2.0	140-0.4
	Minimum		Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft )		800	700	7, 600	Eco col 11
THE CHARGE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH		Procedure turn (-) 8190 of final approach course (outbound and inbound);	altitudos; limiting dis- tancos	8	N side of NB course: 00f outbound 18f° inbound. 1 400′ within 10 miles	W aldo N course: 338° outbound 168° inbound 1,200° within 10 miles	E sido of course: Irgo outbound 230° inbound 2400° within 10 miles	E sido 6 courso: 140° outbound 53° inbound. 1,000° within 10 miles.
		Minimum		*			1, 900	3, 500
				8	•		3:0-7 8	323—18 323 530 530 col
		to facility	1	ca			Rochester VOR Stowartvillo FM (Anal)	South Yakataga Intersection South Yakataga Intersection (Inul)
		Olty and State; airport name, elovation; facility; class and	identification, procedure 170; officitive date	1	KENAI ALASKA Konni Alroot, 63', SDRAZ-VPDT-ENA Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 7. Effective dato: August 27, 1055. Supersedes Amendment No of dated August 3, 1055 Mojor changes: To correct dis fance, columns 7, and 11	MELBO UNB. FLA. Melbourne-End fallo, 20 SBI A.ZM.D. Frocchre No. 1 Amendment 7. Effective: August 27, 1055. Supersedes Amendment M-4, January 12, 1054. Major changes: Now ormat	DOOHESTER, MINN Lobb Field 1,011. EBRINELS/DT-18T FORCHING No. 9. Effective date: August Z, Effective date: August Z, Supercades No. 8, dated No- vember Zo, 1833 dated No- vember Zo, 1833 (1) Airport mano reviced (2) Item 6 re- viced to delete delatance be- yond 10 miles; (3) format reviced in accordance with	YAKATADA, ALASKA, YAKATADA, ALASKA, Yahuma Almert, 12. BINRILZ-PDVT-OYT Proceduro No. 6. Effective doing usust 27, 1035 Supercedes Amendment No N-S, dated June 30, 1034 Molor clanges; (1) Now for- mult; (2) Final approach and milt; (3) Final approach and milt; (3) Final approach and procedure furn changed to Freedure furn changed to Freedure furn changed to

# 2 The very high frequency omnirange procedures prescribed in § 609 9 (a) are amended to read in part:

**YOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACE PROCEDURE** 

Bearings headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statuto miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above alreport elevation.

If a VOR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Olyll Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for an route operation in the particular area

	If visual contact not established at author ized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified or if land	ing not accomplished	11	Within 9.8 miles, olimb to 2,800 within 25 miles make left turn and feturn to VOR SYN.  OAUTION: Tank 1,635 mean sea level logical mile N of sirport. Hadlo tower logical mile N of sirport. Hadlo tower	NW of alroot Radio tower 1,565 mean sea level located 1 mile B of airport	Within 57 miles, climb to 2 200' on course of	1st magnetic within 25 miles, or if all rected by ATO, make right elimbing turn, climb to 2 200° and return to Fort Wayne VOR.	Actions, Tower 1,009 ment sen 100 J located 7 miles NW of airport Tower 1,172 ment sea lovel located 7½ miles W of airport, Sectional charts list other towers in violaity		Within 4 miles of LSE LFR make climbing right turn climb NW to 2,800 on 321° radial before returning to LSE-VOR or If directed by ATO hold at LFR and a point 2 minutes NW on 321° radial LSE-VOR.  Securors: Tower 950° mean sea level 2,2 miles SE of atropt, and tower 2,444° mean, sea	
ninimums	Type sircraft	More than 75 m p h	10	88 300-1 600-2 800-2	nes 200-15 600-2 600-2 800-2 ,	ł	8 8 11-1-5 11-1-5	nes 200-15 500-115 400-1 800-2		688 400-1 400-1 600-1 600-2 400-2 800-2	1003 400-1 400-1 600-1 400-1 800-2 800-2
Celling and visibility minimums	Type :	75 m. p. h or less	6	engines or less 300-1 600-2 600-2 800-2	More than 2 engines du du du du du du	englu	800-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	More than 2 engines in an		engines or less 400-1 600-1 600-2 400-1 800-2 800-2	More than 2 engines -d -d -n -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
Ceiling an		Condition	8	T-dn O-dn 8-dn A-dn	Mon T-dn O-dn A-dn		S-dii 13 A-dii 24	7-4m 8-4m 8-4m A-4m		8.500334 A 6.500334 A 6.50044 A 6.50044	8 4 13 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
1	Course and distance, facility to	airport	7	223—9 6		1375 7				141—5.0 from LSE-LFR	,
Minimum	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft )			2 000		1 500				LSE-LFR 1 900 final	
The state of the s	frocedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound);	tances vinituig dis	2	N side of course: 043° outbound. 223 inbound. : 2 800° within 10 miles		W side of course:	2,200′ within 10 miles			W side of course: 321° outbound 141 inbound 2 400' within 10 miles of LSE LFR	
	Minimum altitude		7	2 800		2, 200	1 600	2 600			
	Course	aprene	8	63—8 6		352-7 0	137—23 0 final	245—25 0			
	Initial approach to facility from—		Ct	Alexandria LFR		Ft Wayne LFR	Intersection NW course FWA LFR and 137° course to FWA-VOR	Intersection NE course FWA LFR and 245 course to FWA-VOR	-		
	Oity and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No;	enective date	1	ALEXANDEIA, MINN Municipal, 1,42% BVOR-AXN Proceduro No. 1 Effective date; August 27, 1855 Amendment No. 3	Supersedes No 2 dated Au gust 16, 1933 Major changes: (1) Frequency deleted them 1; (2) MEA all directions deleted; (3) ttem 6 distance revised to 10 miles in accordance with criteris; (4) new format used	FORT WAYNE IND	BVOR-FWA Procedure No. 1 Amendment No. 3 FRocture dates Assessed or 1000	Supersedes No. 20 dated Jan unry 14, 1054 Major changes; (1) Item & dis- tance and altitude revised due to 1172 mean sea level tower 7 6 miles W of air port; (2) Item & revised in ac cordance with policy; (3) Item (revised in ac in the major of the maj	G G agree with C and	LA OROSE, WIS Munclopal 63' B VOR-LISE. Procedure No. 2 Amendment No : Original. Amendment No : Original. Effective date: August 27 1955 Supersedes: None. Major changes: None	

VOR Standard Instrument Approade Procedure-Continued

a	tur	day,	, Jul	y 30	, 1955		
		If visual contact not established at author ized landing minimums after passing is cility within distance specified or if land		11	Within 5.1 miles, elimb to 2,500' on courso of 035° within 25 miles of RST-VOR. Am Cannien Note: Sliding scale not au thorized	_	
	almimine	treraft	Moro than 75 m p h	10	33 300-1 600-1 800-2	nes 200-1,5 600-1,7 800-2	
	Colling and visibility minimums	Type alreraft	76 m, p, h, or less	0	2 ongines or less 300-1 600-1 800-2	More than 2 origines din 1 din 1.	
	Colling and		Oondition	8	T-dn O-dn A-dn	A-dan A-dan A-dan	
		Course and distance, facility to	alrport	7	0355,1		
	Minimum Minimum Minimum official offici		9	1, 900			
	Procedure turn (—) side of altituding course (cutbound and inhound); on fin an altitudes; limiting distances			8	8 side of course: 21,5 outbound 035 inbound, 2,400' within 10 miles	-	
-		Minimum	£ .	*	2, 400		:
		Course Pand	o regan co	æ	240—4 3		-
		Initial approach to facility		CQ1	Rochester LFR ,		
		Olty and State; alrport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No.	offective date	1	ROCHESTER, MINN Lobb Fleid, 1,041' BVOR-RST, Procedure No. 1	Efficiency Nov. 2 Dated No. Subsequence of Supersection No. 2 Dated No. Vember 23, 1083  Nation changes: (1) Airport name revised; (2) item 5 revised to delete distance be yond 10 miles; (3) format revised in accordance with policy; (4) air carrier note added to be the same as 611 1	

3 The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609 11 are amended to lead in part:

ILS STANDAND INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above alreading.

In LLS instrument approach is conducted at the below named already and accordance with the following instrument approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Acconauties for such already approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with these established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

Transition to ILS | Altitude of alles alone Colline and believe whelming the members.

	If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized land-	accomplished	13	Within 7.4 miles after passing	hack course O'l'F-ILS or on 3419	miles alternate missed apprease	5,000 on NW course O'I F-LFR,	Tr Or within 15 miles	favorable terrain.  OAUTION: 3,510' men sea level	althort.	erit ekaman ini tamba 17-00				
olnímums	irctaft	More than 75 m p h	12	2.53 2.02.1	88		)K-000	1-002	C-007	800-2	***200-14 200-15	300-34	1 002	88	2 003
risibility n	Type alrecaft	76 m.p.h or less	11	ngines or 1	101 101		200-M	1-607	200-2	800-2	More than 2 engines T-dn				
		Condition	10	6 5	(0)	2 4 2	STIL'S	ADF	A-du IIS	ADF	More T-dn O-dn	S-dn 34 ILS	ADF	A-dn II.8	ADF
lide slope	of runway	Middlo marker	C	3,000—a.6											
Altitude of g	proach end	Outer marker	8	6, 700-7 4											_
	Minimum alti tudo at glido slope inferesp-	tion inbound (ft.)	2	II S 5,700	ADF 5,000	100			**********						
Minimum alt proach and of runway	npproach course course course course	inbound); attl tudes; limiting distances	Đ	W sido o	161° outbound	5,700' within 5	6 000° within 10	Not authorized	miles miles						
	Mint	mum ol titudes (ft.)	10	5, 700	\$,703	6,700	6, 700								
		Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand	4	154-0.0	144-6.0	233-20 0	011-110								
insition to ILS		F	ຕ	LOM	гом	LOM	LOM	-							
<u> </u>		Fron	а	GTF-LFR	gte-vor	Belt FAC	Carrado FM (Anal								
	City and State; almort name, clevation; facility:	procedure No; effective	ri*	GREAT FALLS, MONT.	its-orr	Combination ILS-	Precedure No. 1	Effective date: August	87, 1023, Supersedes Amendment No. 1, dated March 10	Major changes: New for-	mat; minor courso and distance corrections; two-engine takeof minimums changed	to 300-1 to comply With criteria			

Celling and visibility minimums	Type alreraft inpen descent to authorized land	75 m p.h More accomplished than 75 or less m p h	11 12 13	Within 6 miles (ADF) climb to	7.20	200-15 200-15 thorized under provisions of	400-1 400-1 applicable except 400-1 required	600-2 700-2 Takeoif minimums no lower than 300-1 authorized on runway 30	800-2 800-2	More than 2 engines 200-15 C-dn 700-115	200-35	400-1	700-2	2-008
Celling and vis		Condition 72	10	2 engi		S-dn 35	ADF -	A-dn ILS	ADF	More the T-dn C-dn	S dn 35 #ILS	ADF	A-dn ILS	ADF
glide slope	of runway	Middle marker	6	1 066-0 8										
Altitude of	proach end of runway	Outer marker	8	2 201—6 0										
	Minimum alti tude at glide slope intercep	tion inbound (ft )	2	ILS-2 300	ADF-1800									<u>-</u>
Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); alti tudes, limiting distances		inbound); alti tudes; limiting distances	9 ,	E side S course:	352 Inbound 2 300' within 10	Not authorized beyond 10	8							
	Mini	mum al titudes (ft )	, <b>10</b>	2 300										
	32110	and dis	. 4	169-20 0			_							
Transition to ILS		T0—	80	row		·								
		From—	63	St Joseph VOR		,			-					
i	City and State; alroort name, elevation; facility:	date date	Ħ	ST. JOSEPH, MO Rosecrans Memorial	1LS-STY. LOM-ST.	Procedure No. 1 Combination ILS-ADF.	Amendment No 10. Effective date: August	27, 1955. Supersedes No. 9 dated March 5, 1955	Major changes: (1) Cor rects item 4 to agree	With C and G				

(Sec 205 52 Stat 984 as amended; 49 U S C 425 Interpret or apply sec 601 52 Stat 1007 as amended; 49 U S C 551) These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated in Column 1 of the procedures

[SEAL]

[F R Doc 55-6001; Filed July 29 1955; 8:45 a m]

TITLE 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE ing 1 Chapter V—Department of the Army lowir

Subchapler E—Organized Reserves
PART 562—RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING
CORPS

CONDITIONS FOR ENROLLMENT IN A SPECIFIC COURSE

COURSE

In § 562 22 a new subparagraph (3) is added to paragraph (a) and a new subparagraph (3) is also added to paragraph (b) as follows:

a specific course \* \* \*
(a) For basic course senior division \* \* \*

§ 562,22 Conditions for enrollment in

(3) Loyalty oath Applicants entilling in this course must execute the following loyalty oath:

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will set support and defend the Constitution of the Jonteed States against all enemies foreign or Doublestie; that I will bear true faith and I allegiance to the same; and that I take this pobligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; so help me God Ir

(i) Any student who refuses to execute such loyalty oath will be denied formal enrollment

(ii) Such students as may be required or permitted by educational institutions to undergo military training and who are not accepted for formal enrollment may receive instruction in the basic course

with the approval of the professon of D military science and tactics. A student me who has been denied enrollment by reason of refusing to execute the oath prescribed in this subparagraph will not be E permitted to wear the ROTC uniform F inor will any appropriated funds be existenced for his support.

venued for ins support.

(iii) These provisions will not be retroactive. Students currently enrolled who have already executed DD Form 98 (Loyalty Certificate for Personnel of the Armed Forces) will not be required to execute the oath prescribed in this subparagraph.

(b) For advanced course senior d

(3) Loyalty certificate (1) Applicants will be required to satisfactorily execute

of DD Form 98 as a prerequisite to enrollent ment

F B Lee Administrator of Civil Aeronautics cea
(ii) An applicant who refuses to sign breDD Form 98 will be denied enrollment
be Each applicant who qualifies his DD orm
Form 98 by an entry of other than
ex'None or "None to my knowledge' in
the remarks section will be denied enrollment until such times as a full and
complete investigation discloses that
i 98 such action would not be prejudicial to
the national interest Students will be
the national interest Students will be
to advised to file their DD Forms 98 suffiubnounced for formal enrollment to permit
disuch investigation if required.

[C4 AR 145-350 June 15 1955] (R S 161; 5 U S C 22 Interprets or applies 39 Stat 191, as amended, sec. 34, 41 Stat. 778; 10 U. S. C. 354, 381-388, 441)

JOHN A. KLEIN, [SEAL] Major General, U.S. Army. The Adjutant General.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6161; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:46 a. m.1

## Subchapter F-Personnel

PART 571—RECRUITING AND ENLISTMENTS QUALIFICATIONS FOR ENLISTMENT

In § 571.2, paragraphs (e) (1) and (f) (5) (i) (c) are amended to read as follows:

§ 571.2 Qualifications for enlistment.

(e) Enlistment of persons with dependents. (1) Applicants with dependents are authorized to enlist in the Regular Army only under the conditions in subdivisions (i) through (v) of this subparagraph. The restrictions imposed by this paragraph do not apply to those Selective Service registrants who enlist for 24 months under the Universal Military Training and Service Act.

(i) Nonprior service men enlisting in grade E-1 may enlist with not more than one dependent provided they attain a percentile score of 65 or higher on the armed forces qualification test.

(ii) Prior service men enlisting in grade E-1 through E-3 may enlist with not more than two dependents provided they attain a percentile score of 65 or higher on the armed forces qualification test.

(iii) Prior service personnel enlisting in grade E-4 or higher or if entitled to temporary promotion on date of enlistment to grade E-4 or higher may enlist without regard to the above restrictions.

(iv) Personnel enlisting on the day following separation from active duty in the Army may enlist without regard to the above restrictions.

(v) For applicants who do not meet these requirements, major commanders may grant waivers in especially meritorious cases of personnel with long periods of honorable active service (6 years or more)

(f) Classes ineligible for enlistment unless waiver is granted. \* \* \*

(5) Men with civil records. (i) \* \* \*

(c) Minor offenses. Men with records of minor offenses may be granted waivers for enlistment by recruiting main station commanders. These offenses include minor traffic violations and single cases of drunkenness, vagrancy, truancy, peace disturbance, etc., for which no civil restraint exists.

[C2, AR 615-120, 18 June 1955] (R. S. 161; 5 U. S. C. 22)

JOHN A. KLEIN, [SEAL] Major General, U.S. Army, The Adjutant General.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6162; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:47 a. m.1

## TITLE 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

> Appendix C-Public Land Orders [Public Land Order 1197] [Montana 014427]

## MONTANA

RESERVING PUBLIC LANDS IN CONNECTION WITH THE BULL MOUNTAIN GAME REFUGE

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, the act of September 2, 1937 (50 Stat. 917; 16 U. S. C. 669-669i) and the act of March 10, 1934, as amended by the act of August 14, 1946 (48 Stat. 401; 60 Stat. 1080; 16 U. S. C. 661-666c), it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands in Montana are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the publicland laws, including the mining but not the mineral-leasing laws, and reserved under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior for use of the Department of Fish and Game of the State of Montana in connection with the Bull Mountain Game Refuge, under such conditions as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior:

MONTANA PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 3 N., R. 4 W., Sec. 18; Sec. 20, W1/2, Sec. 30.

The areas described aggregate 1,599.32 acres.

This order shall take precedence over but not otherwise affect the Departmental order of November 3, 1936, establishing Montana Grazing District No. 5.

ORME LEWIS. Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 26, 1955.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6163; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:47 a. m.]

## TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNI-**CATION**

## Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 11181; FCC 55-802] [Rules Amdt. 3-52]

PART 3-RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

1. The Commission has under consideration its Notice of Proposed Rule Making issued on September 23, 1954 (FCC 54-1211), and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 29, 1954 (19 F. R. 6276) proposing to amend section 3.614 (b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations in response to petitions filed by WBEN, Inc. and WSAZ, Inc.

2. Section 3.614 (b) of the Rules pertaining to the maximum authorized

powers and antenna heights for television stations presently specifies different requirements for stations in Zone I from those specified for stations in other zones. The pertinent provisions of this section read as follows:

(b) Maximum power. Except as provided in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, the maximum effective radiated powers of television broadcast stations operating on the channels set forth below with antenna heights not in excess of 2,000 feet above average terrain shall be as follows:

Maximum visual effective radiated power in db above one kilowatt

(dbk) Channel Nos.. 2-6\_\_\_\_\_ 20 dbk (100 kw). 7-13 ..... 25 dbk (316 kw). 14-83\_\_\_\_\_ 30 dbk (1,000 kw).

(1) In Zone I. On Channels 2-13. inclusive the maximum powers specified above for these channels may be used only with antenna heights not in excess of 1,000 feet above average terrain. Where antena heights exceeding 1,000 feet above average terrain are used on Channels 2-13, or antenna heights exceeding 2,000 feet above average terrain are used on Channels 14-83, the maximum power shall be based on the chart designated as Appendix III, Figure 2a.

(2) In Zones II and III, the maximum powers which may be used by television broadcast stations operating on the respective channels set forth in the above table with antenna heights exceeding 2,000 feet above average terrain shall be based on the chart designated as Appendix III, Figure 2b.

3. The petitions of WBEN, Inc., licensee of television Station WBEN-TV operating on Channel 4 at Buffalo, New York, and WSAZ, Inc., licensee of television Station WSAZ-TV, operating on Channel 3 at Huntington, West Virginia, request that § 3.614 (b) be amended so as to delete paragraph (b) (1) and to revise paragraph (b) (2) to read as follows:

(2) In all zones, the maximum powers which may be used by television broadcast stations operating on the respective channels set forth in the above table with antenna heights exceeding 2,000 feet above average terrain shall be based on the chart designated as Appendix III, Figure 2b.1

Thus, the petitioners seek to amend the rule to remove the present restriction on power and height requirements in Zone I and to make the power-height compensation formulá the same for all zones.

4. Comments in support of the petitions were filed by petitioners WBEN, Inc., and WSAZ, Inc., and by Sarkes Tarzian, Inc., and William E. Benns, Jr. Oppositions were filed by Triangle Publications, Inc. (WFIL-TV) Philadelphia, Pa., General Teleradio, Inc. (WOR-TV) New York; Evening News Association (WWJ-TV), Detroit, Michigan; Joe L. Smith, Jr., Inc. (WKNA-TV), Charles-

Figure 2 (a) would be eliminated and figure 2 (b) would be relabeled to apply to all zones.

ton, West Virginia; WGR Corporation (WGR-TV) Buffalo, New York; WCAU, Inc. (WCAU-TV) Philadelphia, Pa., Elm City Broadcasting Corp., (WNHC-TV) New Haven, Conn., John H. Mullaney, Washington, D. C., Greylock Broadcasting Co., (WMGT-TV) Adams. Mass., Helm Coal Company, (WNOW-York, Pa., Neenah-Menasha Broadcasting Co., (WNAM-TV), Neenah, Wisc., Plains Television Corp., (WISC-TV) Springfield, Illinois; Rossmoyne Corp., (WCMB-TV) Harrisburg, Pa., Salisbury Broadcasting Corp., (WWOR-TV) Worcester, Mass., Southern Connecticut & Long Island Television Co., Inc. (WICC-TV) Bridgeport, Conn., Springfield Television Broadcasting Corp., (WWLP-TV) Springfield, Mass., Telecasting, Inc., (WENS-TV) Pitts-burgh, Pa., New Britain Broadcasting Co., (WKNB-TV) New Britain-Hartford, Conn., South Bend Tribune, (WSBT-TV) South Bend, Indiana; The Journal Company (WTMJ-TV) Milwaukee, Wisc., Tri-City Broadcasting Co., (WTRF-TV) Wheeling, West Virginia: Robert R. Thomas, Jr., (WOAY-TV) Oak Hill, West Virginia, WKNY-TV Corporation, (WKYN-TV) Kingston, New York; WJAC, Inc., (WJAC-TV) Johnstown, Pa., WBRE-TV Inc., (WBRE-TV), Wilkes-Barre, Pa., Wyoming Valley Broadcasting Co., (WILK-TV) Wilkes-Barre, Pa., General Electric Company, (WRGB-TV) Schenectady, New York; Gable Broadcasting Co., (WFBG-TV) Altoona, Pa., WGAL, Inc. (WGAL-TV) Lancaster, Pa., As-sociated Broadcasters, Inc. (WLEV-Bethlehem, Pa., WDEL, Inc., (WDEL-TV), Wilmington, Delaware; WAVE, Inc., (WAVE-TV) Louisville, Kentucky Havens & Martin, Inc., (WTVR) Richmond, Virginia; Northeastern Indiana Broadcasting Co., Inc., (WKJG-TV) Fort Wayne, Indiana; Tri-City Radio Corp., (WLBC-TV) Muncie, Ind., Easton Publishing Company, (WGLV-TV) Easton, Pa., Great Lakes Television Co., (WSEE) Erie, Pa., Prairie Television Co., (WTVP) Decatur, Illinois; Premier Television, Inc., (WFIE) Evansville, Ind., Wabash Valley Broadcasting Corp., (WTHI-TV)
Terre Haute, Ind., Van Curler Broad-casting Corp., (WTRI-TV) Albany, New York; WFAM, Inc., (WFAM-TV) Lafayette, Indiana, WJIM, Inc., WJIM-TV) Lansing, Michigan; Mt. Washington TV Inc., (WMTV-TV) Poland Spring, Maine; Truth Publishing Company, Inc., (WSJV-TV) Elkhart, Ind., Greater Rockford Television, Inc., (WREX-TV) Rockford, Ill., Veterans Broadcasting Co., Inc., (WVET-TV), Rochester, New York; WKST, Inc., (WKST-TV) New Castle, Pa., Chemical City Broadcasting Co., (WTIP) Charles-ton, W Va.,, Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association; Air Transport Association of America. Department of Commerce, (Civil Aeronautics Administration) Assistant Secretary of Defense; and Civil Aeronautics Board.

5. In view of the large number of comments filed and the duplication of contentions of the various parties, the comments will be discussed without reference to particular parties, except where noted. The parties supporting the proposed

amendment urge that the proposal would result in improved television service to the public in that it would increase the potential service areas of all stations and would increase the signal strength throughout the entire service areas of the stations. The primary basis for this contention is contained in an engineering study prepared by WBEN which purports to show that the total available service in Zone I from all existing stations and assignments would increase in the event all stations were to operate with antenna heights up to 2,000 feet without the power reductions now required by the present Rule. For example, it is alleged that the total service area of all the Channel 2 stations in Zone 1, assuming operation with 100 kw and 500 feet, would be 66,627 square miles as against 130,892 square miles for antenna heights of 2,000 feet, an increase of 96.5 percent. Similarly, it is alleged that, on the basis of two stations operating at minimum, average, and maximum cochannel and adjacent channel spacings encountered in Zone 1, where one station remains at a particular antenna height with maximum power and the other increases its height up to 2,000 feet at maximum power, the total service area in all cases would increase. For example, for two stations on adjacent channels, separated by 60 miles, the service area would total 14,380 square miles with each at 500 feet antenna height, but would increase to 22,877 square miles with one station going to 2,000 feet.

6. Petitioners further contend that the present rule discriminates against Zone I stations, especially those along the Zone 1 boundary line; that the Commission itself recognize the advantages of utilizing tall antenna towers in the Third Notice and Sixth Report; that there is now available sufficient new data to justify the adoption of the proposal; that the present engineering standards do not accurately predict service and that as a result there are substantial areas in Zone 1 without service; and that the adoption of the proposal is the only way in which these "white areas" may receive service.

7. WBEN urges that its individual situation merits special consideration. It submits that its plans from the earliest request for a new television station in Buffalo contemplated a "rural" type station as provided for in the previous rules, and that it had reason to believe from the testimony in the television rule making proceedings leading up to the Sixth Report and Order and from the proposals made in the Third Notice that it would be authorized to operate at its present location and antenna height of 1,210 feet above average terrain with maximum power of 100 kw. Instead WBEN has been required to operate with reduced power of 53.7 kw in light of its antenna height above 1,000 feet in accordance with the rules as finally adopted. WBEN concedes that its authorization for the new site and antenna height was conditioned upon whatever final action was taken as a result of the proceedings in Dockets 8736 et al. It is suggested in the event the Commission does not adopt the proposed change, that consideration be given to WBEN-TV and

others in a similar situation to obtain the necessary improvement in their service by the addition of the following provision to § 3.614 (b) (1) "This limitation shall not apply to any licensee or permittee in Zone 1 who received an authorization prior to April 14, 1952, to construct a tower and antenna to a height in excess of 1,000 feet above average terrain. In such case, maximum power may be utilized at the height above average terrain specified in the authorization. The limitation shall apply, however, where the tower or other principal supporting structure had been constructed prior to the date of such authorization."

8. In support of its request for special relief, WBEN submits the results of a measurement survey in various communities in the southwestern portion of New York State and in the northwestern portion of Pennsylvania. WBEN states that in this area many people live in valleys and that the area is one in which it is expected that WBEN will provide the only television service. The results of this measurement program, it is alleged, show that the field intensities provided at 50 percent or more of the locations measured were 12 db or more below the values calculated by the method provided in the Rules and that no other VHF television service is available to the area investigated.

9. WSAZ, Inc., also requests special relief for its station. It submits that it has attempted to improve service to the rugged terrain area surrounding Huntington, West Virginia, but has found that the operation with reduced power (46.8 kw) at its antenna height of 1,250 feet is inadequate to provide adequate service to the area. It submits that studies indicate that due to shadow loss. its signal in Charleston is approximately 16.9 db less than that computed from the Commission's curves and that only by increased power and antenna height can these shadow losses be overcome. It alleges that large areas in its locality are without service and must resort to community antenna systems for any television service. WSAZ urges that its situation may be unique due to the character of the terrain and the location of communities in the Ohio-West Virginia-Kentucky area and suggests that the Commission should recognize this condition by modifying § 3.616 (b) by adding the following subparagraph:

(2) The above limitation in Zone 1 shall not be applicable where maximum power of existing stations is required in order to serve areas and populations which cannot otherwise be adequately served because of geographical distribution of population or the allocation of television facilities; nor shall the above restriction be applicable where terrain conditions result in field intensities materially different from those which might be computed under the Commission's Standards of Good Engineering Practice.

10. The parties opposed to the adoption of the proposed amendments, with the exception of the aeronautical interests and government agencies concerned with hazard to air navigation, are primarily television station licensees and

permittees, both VHF and UHF. These parties argue that no justification has been presented by petitioners to warrant the increase in antenna height for VHF stations in Zone 1. They urge that the basis for the distinction between the heights in Zone 1 and the other Zones is the closer spacings provided in Zone 1, the greater density of population and large number of cities-in addition to the lack of data on propagation effects with antenna heights over 1,000 feet. They argue that petitioners have not presented any data which would warrant the change proposed. The UHF stations contend that increased coverage for VHF stations would involve greater overlap of VHF signals within their own service areas and would thus further worsen the competitive disadvantage of The VHF stations urge that THF under the proposal, those stations which would be unable to increase antenna height because of zoning, aeronautical or economic considerations, would suffer increased interference and would thus be at a competitive disadvantage with those which would be able to obtain such increased height. With respect to the engineering studies made by WBEN, it is urged that the assumptions made in that study are unrealistic; that they do not take into account the economic problems of finding new sites and obtaining the necessary towers and antennas; and that they do not demonstrate the effects of limiting conditions that might prevail. For example, no showing is made as to comparative coverage when one station goes to 2,000 feet while all the others remain at their present antenna height, and where one station remains unchanged while surrounding stations increase to the proposed heights. In this connection, Robert R. Thomas, Jr., argues that if WSAZ increases its antenna height to 2,000 feet and other stations on co-channel and adjacent channel remain at their present facilities, there would be an increase of 4,417 square miles within WSAZ's interferencefree service area, but at the same time there would be an increase of 7,655 square miles in the interference areas of the eleven stations affected by the WSAZ change in facilities to 2,000 feet. Others urge that if maximum power with antenna heights up to 2,000 feet are authorized, other stations should be permitted increases in power from the present values to from 500 to 1000 kw. m order to permit these stations to regain the lost area due to the increased interference.

11. Several parties in opposition contend that the increased height is unnecessary since almost all of the areas in Zone 1 either receive service, or will do so, in the near future. It is urged that all but 19 of the VHF assignments in this Zone are occupied; that 50 percent of these have antenna heights of 750 feet or less; and that only 16.5 percent have antenna heights over 1,030 feet. Considering station location, it is argued that 88 percent have antenna heights of 1,030 feet and below, and that only 11.3 percent have antennas of over 1,030 feet. Some contend that the greater coverage possible under the proposal could result in coverage of the entire Zone 1 by a par-

ticular network with only a few stations, thus depriving other stations of network programs. Others oppose the increased antenna height proposal on the grounds that it would preclude additional allocations in the VHF.

12. Several parties comment with respect to the WBEN and WSAZ requests for special relief. Some of these parties urge that special circumstances may justify a relaxation of the power height limitation in Zone 1, and they suggest that such situations be handled on a case-tocase basis on a proper showing supported by field intensity measurements. Suggestions were made as to the handling of hardship cases including a "grandfather" clause whereby stations such as WBEN would be permitted to operate with full power, amending the method of computing the antenna elevation above average terrain, and a factual showing that the additional coverage is required and that the additional facilities will not cause objectional interference. Some parties would limit these departures from the present Rule only in those instances where the minimum co-channel spacings are at least 190 miles, the minimum spacing required for Zone II co-channel VHF stations. Mt. Washington TV, Inc., urges that if Section 3.614 is amended so that interference is caused to Station WMTW, or other Zone II stations, that these Zone II stations be authorized to increase power to offset the additional interference without regard to the 2,000 foot limit on antenna height for Zone II.

13. Other parties oppose the requests for special relief for the petitioners. They urge that both WSAZ and WBEN have not shown that they do not adequately serve the principal cities to be served by the assignments in question; that there are alternative methods available to solve their problems, such as relocating antenna sites closer to the principal cities; that satellites and booster stations could provide the additional service sought to be rendered; and that in any event, the proposed increase in power which would be authorized would not accomplish the objective of the parties. With respect to WSAZ, it is argued that this station has moved in a direction away from the area it claims is not presently served; while in the WBEN case, it is contended that a large part of the area to be served by the increased power will eventually receive interference from the co-channel operation of a Channel 4 station in Irwin, Pa. Some parties found fault with the WBEN field strength measurements designed to show that its contemplated coverage area was not receiving adequate signals. It was argued that the cluster-type method of making measurements does not show the average conditions as satisfactorily as mobile measurements; that 7 of the 18 cities chosen for the survey showed field intensities exceeding the minimum required for Grade B service and that 3 communities are outside the calculated Grade B contour; that out of the 18 cities chosen, 3 are closer to Rochester, 4 are closer to Erie, both of which have their own VHF stations; that 4 cities have their own UHF assignments; and that since many of these cities are in shadow areas, the data does not reveal

anything new. Others question the validity of the measurements noting that a portion of these measurements were made during periods of precipitation and poor weather conditions.

14. The Civil Aeronautics Board, Assistant Secretary of Defense, Department of Commerce (Civil Aeronautics Administration) Air Transport Association of America, and Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association direct their comments to the question of possible increased hazard to air navigation due to the adoption of the proposed rule. These parties urge that the proposed increased antenna height for Zone 1 stations without attendant decrease in power would be an incentive for station owners to apply for increased facilities. They argue that increased tower heights would require raising the minimum flight altitude of planes and that this in turn may cause icing conditions or conditions of lesser visibility, and would impose an unwarranted hardship upon the aviation industry. They refer to a paper (ACC 3/21.8) which discusses a new national aeronautical policy with regards to hazards to air navigation imposed by antenna towers and which makes recommendations to the Air Coordinating Committee that action be initiated by the Commission to minimize the number of towers which extend over 1.000 feet above ground.

15. The Gable Broadcasting Company (WFBG-TV) and the Elm City Broadcasting Corp. (WNHC-TV) request oral hearing and argument.

16. In its reply to oppositions filed, WBEN argues that the cut-back in power for Zone 1 was a compromise made at the time of the Sixth Report and was meant to be a temporary one. It submits that while there may be some inequities for some VHF and UHF stations in the proposed rule change, the Commission does not guarantee the "status quo" to any applicant when it grants an authorization for a radio or television station, and that in any event the public interest must be the primary consideration in evaluating a proposed rule change. WBEN has no objection to any of the proposed alternatives provided it would be permitted to operate with its present tower height with maximum power. In reply to the aeronautical interests, WBEN submits that the present rule permits antenna heights of 2.000 feet for VHF stations as well as UHF stations and hence the proposal would not change the status of hazards to air navigation; and contends that the present procedure has been satisfactory. With respect to the comments directed to its measurements, WBEN contends that these measurements were performed by qualified engineers utilizing efficient and acceptable engineering methods. WBEN further states that the precipitation during the measurement would have a negligible effect."

<sup>\*</sup>These replies were filed in a document entitled "Comments of WBEN-TV on responses Filed by Other Parties" on December 29, 1954, 23 days after the time specified for the filing of replies to comments. WBEN urged that these comments were filed because the validity of the measurements sub-

17. In the Sixth Report and Order the Commission reviewed its proposals with respect to height and power and effected substantial revisions in the final rule. In this Report the Commission, in the light of certain economic and engineering data in the record, set up three zones with different co-channel and adjacent channel separations for each zone. The rule finally adopted by the Commission in the Sixth Report with respect to heights and powers was promulgated in light of the separations specified for cochannel assignments in the various While the Sixth Report was zones. pending before the Commission, consideration was given to the possibility of authorizing 2,000 foot antennas for all channels throughout the entire country with a set of curves supplied for power reductions for heights about 2,000 feet. This possibility was based on the fact that operation with maximum power on the minimum co-channel spacings provided in the Sixth Report at 2,000 feet would not radically reduce the service area of a small station with low power and height. This proposal was rejected by the Commission. Various considerations were urged at that time in support of the contention that use of maximum power at higher heights in Zone 1 was not in the public interest. It was argued that in the Zone 1 area where a minimum separation of 170 miles was provided, the resulting mutual interference would be too great. A consideration strongly urged was that provision for operation with maximum power at 2,000 feet in Zone 1 would make it very difficult for the competitive operation of UHF stations, particularly in the early development of that service. The Commission's decision with respect to heights of 2,000 feet was, in effect, a compromise, which provided for use of maximum power with heights up to 2,000 feet in Zones II and III and up to 1,000 feet in Zone 1 for the VHF with appropriate curves for computing the appropriate reduction in power above these antenna limits. In the Sixth Report the Commission stated that the use of high antenna heights were desirable since the ratio of service area gained to service area lost increases with antenna height, the Commission stated:

Accordingly, in order to achieve a more efficient utilization of each television channel we are modifying the provisions with respect to the use of antennas over 500 feet to specify that in Zones II and III where wider station separations have been maintained in the Table, antennas will be authorized in the VHF up to heights of 2,000 feet, with maximum power, without regard to co-

mitted by it was questioned and because an attack was made on the integrity of the engineer making these measurements. Tricity Radio Corp., Northeastern Indiana Broadcasting Co., Inc., and Havens and Martin, Inc., filed Motions to Strike the above-mentioned document on the grounds that it was filed late and did not show good cause for the late filing. We are of the view that WBEN has made a sufficient showing to warrant consideration of its late filing, and we are therefore denying the aforementioned Motion to Strike.

<sup>3</sup> These curves are set out in Appendix III, Figures 2a and 2b to the Rules.

1

channel interference that will be caused by such operation with the greater antenna heights. In Zone 1 we have provided that VHF stations may use antennas up to a height of 1,000 feet, with maximum power. In view of the fact that station separations in this Zone are lower than in Zones II and III, and in view of the fact that cities in Zone 1 are more closely located than cities in Zones II and III, until a larger body of data is available with respect to operation with antenna heights over 1,000 feet with higher powers we are unable to permit operation with such powers at heights over 1,000 feet.

The curves adopted by the Commission provide an incentive to achieve higher heights; the slope of the curve is drawn so that the service area of the station will increase as heights increase.

18. WBEN and WSAZ request that we amend our rules relating to television antenna height and power to remove the present restriction for VHF stations in Zone I on the use of maximum power with antenna heights above 1.000 feet and to provide the same requirements for stations in all three zones. However, upon our careful consideration of all of the comments and evidence in the proceeding, we are not convinced that petitioners have made a sufficient showing to warrant the basic change in the allocation structure for television stations in Zone I that adoption of the amendment as proposed by petitioners would entail. As indicated above, the heights and powers for stations in Zone I were not adopted solely because we had insufficient data to warrant the use of maximum power with antenna heights up to 2,000 feet as provided in the other zones. We explained in the Sixth Report and Order that an equally compelling factor was the great number of cities within Zone I and the close spacings between the cities in this area. In adopting the 1,000-foot limit for maximum power for VHF stations in Zone I, the Commission was concerned within the impact of VHF coverage on the new UHF service and was aware of the lower separations in Zone I as compared with the remainder of the country. We therefore were of the view that maximum power should be employed by VHF stations in Zone I only with intenna heights up to 1,000 feet.

19. A review of the proceedings leading up to the Sixth Report and Order makes clear that the Commission has always recognized the benefits to be derived from the use of higher antenna heights. We believe that, as an overall policy the public interest is best served by operation of stations with high antenna heights, and this is especially true when all stations so operate. However, we must consider the fact that we are now faced with an established pattern of many operating stations in Zone I and we must consider the overall effect on amending the height and power rule at this time on the existing situation. We believe that a compromise approach to the height and power problem would have the least impact on other stations while at the same time would permit stations to improve their operation and to achieve the benefit to be derived from higher heights. We believe that an effective compromise can be

achieved by amending our height and power rule to permit VHF stations in Zone I to operate with maximum power up to antenna heights of 1,250 feet above average terrain instead of the 1,000 feet presently prescribed.

20. There are presently only 18 stations in Zone I with antenna heights in excess of 1.000 feet above average terrain, and 7 of these are located at one site in New York City. Under the amended rule, an increase in power up to 4 db would be the maximum authorized in any situation. The effect of the amendment would be to increase the limitation of other stations on a line between the stations in the order of some one to three miles, assuming such other stations did not similarly increase antenna height and power. The extent of the increased coverage for stations going to the new maximum would be in the order of four to five miles, assuming no interference. Accordingly, we believe that stations operating under the new rule would have very little impact on the operation of other stations, both VHF and UHF while at the same time such stations as WBEN-TV and WSAZ-TV would, through the use of maximum power and increased antenna height, be enabled to improve the service rendered to the public.

21. We also believe that the amendment we are adopting which would permit VHF stations to operate with maximum power at 1,250 feet instead of the 1,000 feet presently prescribed, will be of negligible effect on problems relating to air hazard. In the first place, it should be understood that the present rule does not place any limitation on antenna heights above 1,000 feet but merely specified that when antennas above 1,000 feet are utilized, that power be reduced accordingly. The present amendment would merely require that power be reduced only when heights above 1,250 feet are employed in Zone I. Furthermore, adequate procedures are available for determining the matter of hazards to air navigation on a case-by-case basis.

22. In view of the foregoing, the Commission has concluded that the public interest would be served by amending our rules to permit VHF television stations to employ maximum power in Zone I with antenna heights up to 1,250 fcet above average terrain. This compromise we believe, would enable VHF stations in Zone I to achieve the benefits of higher antenna heights while at the same time would minimize the effect of such increased height and power on other stations in the area.

23. Certain parties to the proceeding have requested oral argument and hearing. We do not believe such procedure is necessary. All parties have had full and adequate opportunity for the submission of written comments and voluminous comments have been submitted.

We see no necessity for further proceedings and believe that such further proceedings would serve no useful purpose, 24. Authority for the adoption of the

24. Authority for the adoption of the amendment herein is contained in sections 303 (a), (b), (c) (d), (e), (f), (g) and (r), and 4 (i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.


-25. In view of the foregoing: It is ordered, That effective August 31, 1955, the Commission's rules and regulations are amended as follows:

1. Section 3.614 (b) (1) is amended to read as follows:

(1) In Zone I, on Channels 2-13, inclusive, the maximum powers specified above for those channels may be used only with antenna heights not in excess of 1,250 feet above average terrain. Where antenna heights exceeding 1,250

feet above average terrain are used on Channels 2-13, or antenna heights exceeding 2,000 feet above average terrain are used on Channels 14-83, the maximum power shall be based on the chart designated as appendix III, figure 2 (a).

2. The chart designated as appendix III. figure 2 (a) contained in Subpart E of Part 3 of the Rules is deleted and a new appendix III, figure 2 (a) is substituted therefor.



(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066 as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082 as amended; 47 U.S. C. 303)

Adopted: July 20, 1955. Released: July 22, 1955.

MARY JANE MORRIS, [SEAL] Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6062; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:45 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,1

No. 148---3

## **PROPOSED** RULE MAKING

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

I 7 CFR Part 905 1

[Dccket No. AO-203-A6]

MILK IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA., MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING EXCEPTIONS TO RECOMMENDED DECISION WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREEMENT, AND TO ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S. C. 601 et seq.) and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and orders (7 CFR Part 900) notice is hereby given that the time for filing exceptions to the recommended decision with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, marketing area, which was issued July 19, 1955 (20 F. R. 5259), is hereby extended to August 11, 1955.

Dated: July 27, 1955.

[SEAL]

ROY W. LENNARTSON. Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6170; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:48 a. m.1

## I 7 CFR Part 967 ]

[Docket No. AO170-A9]

MILK IN SOUTH BEND-LA PORTE, IND., MARKETING AREA

DECISION WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED MARKETING AGREEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER AMENDING ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S. C. 601 et sea.) and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900) a public hearing was conducted at South Bend, Indiana, on June 13, 1955, pursuant to notice thereof which was issued on June 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 4038)

Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at the hearing and the record thereof, the Acting Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, on July 12, 1955, filed with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, his recommended decision and opportunity to file written excep-tions thereto. This recommended decision was published in the FEDERAL RECISTER on July 16, 1955 (20 F. R. 5100)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commissioners Hyde and Webster not participating; Commissioner Bartley discenting; Commissioner Mack abstaining from voting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See also Title 7, Part 967, supra.

The material issues, findings and conclusions, and general findings of the recommended decision (20 F R. 5100; F R. Doc. 55-5804) are hereby approved and adopted as the findings and conclusions of this decision as if set forth in full herein.

Determination of representative The month of May 1955, is period. hereby determined to be the representative period for the purpose of ascertaining whether the issuance of an order amending the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the South Bend-LaPorte, Indiana, marketing area in the manner set forth in the attached amending order is approved or favored by producers who during such period were engaged in the production of milk for a sale in the marketing area specified in such marketing order, as amended.

Marketing agreement and order

nexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled respectively, "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, Marketing Area," and "Order Amending the Order, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, Marketing Area," which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions. These documents shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and orders have been

It is hereby ordered that all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the Federal Register. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended by the attached order which will be published with this deci-

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 27th day of July 1955.

[SEAL]

EARL L. BUTZ, Assistant Secretary.

Order 2 Amending the Order Amended, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, Marketing Area

§ 967.0 Findings and determinations. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of each of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) Findings upon the basis of the hearing record. Pursuant to the provi-

sions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.) and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900) a public hearing was held upon certain proposd amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended regulating the handling of milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as,, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

oraer relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective of the the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and the aforesaid order, as amended, is hereby further amended as follows:

- 1. In § 967.51 (a) delete the words "current supply-demand ratio" and the words "supply-demand ratio" and substitute therefor in both instances the words "adjusted supply-demand ratio."
- 2. In § 967.51 (a) delete the words "3 cents" and substitute the words "2 cents"
- 3. In § 967.51 (d) delete subparagraph (4) and substitute a new subparagraph (4) as follows:
- (4) If the current supply-demand ratio is greater or less than the current supply-demand ratio computed by the market administrator during the third delivery period immediately preceding, add or subtract the difference, respectively, to or from the percentage computed pursuant to subparagraph (3) of this paragraph. The result is the "adjusted supply-demand ratio" and if the current supply-demand ratio does not differ from that computed during the third delivery period preceding, the current supply-demand ratio shall be the "adjusted supply-demand ratio"

[F. R. Doc. 55-6171; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:49 a. m.]

## Agricultural Research Service I 9 CFR Part 151 J

RECOGNITION OF BREEDS AND BOOKS OF RECORD OF PUREBRED ANIMALS

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Notice is hereby given in accordance with section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S. C. 1003), that, pursuant to paragraph 1606 of section 201 of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended (19 U. S. C., 1201, par. 1606) it is proposed to revise the regulations governing the recognition of breeds and books of record of purebred animals (9 CFR Part 151) to read as follows:

§ 151.1 . Definitions. Words used in this part in the singular form shall be deemed to import the plural, and vice versa, as the case may demand. As used in this part, the following words, names, or terms shall have the meanings set forth in this section, unless otherwise clearly indicated by the context

(a) The act. Paragraph 1606 of section 201 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S. C. 1201, Par. 1606 and

P L. 475, 80th Cong., 62 Stat. 161)
(b) Department. The United States
Department of Agriculture.

(c) Branch. The Animal Inspection and Quarantine Branch of the Agricultural Research Service of the Department.

(d) Chief of the Branch. The Chief of the Branch or any officer or employee of the Branch to whom authority has heretofore lawfully been delegated or to whom authority may hereafter lawfully be delegated to act in his stead.

(e) Inspector An inspector of the Branch or of the Bureau of Customs of the United States Treasury Department authorized to perform functions under the regulations in this part.

(f) Animal. Any purebred animal imported specially for breeding purposes except a black, silver, or platinum fox, or any fox which is a mutation or type

developed therefrom.

(g) Purebred. A term applicable to animals which are the progeny of known and registered ancestors of the same recognized breed and for which at least three generations of ancestry can be traced: Provided, however, That in the case of sheep registered on the basis of flocks, the term is applicable to animals of a recognized breed which originate in a flock for which available breeding data. as shown in the registry association's records, establish that the flock has been ın existence at least ten years.

(h) Pedigree certificate. A document issued by a registry association giving the pedigree of an animal and certifying that it is registered in the book of record of the association issuing the document. and containing all pertinent information relating to the registered animal, such as color and natural and artificial markings, a record of the name and address of the breeder, and the name and address of each subsequent owner of the animal.

(i) Book of record. A printed book sponsored by a registry association and confaining breeding data relative to a large number of registered purebred animals used as a basis for the issuance of pedigree certificates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been

- (j) Certificate of pure breeding. A document issued by the Chief of the Branch to, and for the exclusive use of, the collector of customs, United States Treasury Department, certifying that the animal to which the document refers is a purebred animal of a recognized breed and duly registered in a book of record recognized under the regulations in this part for that breed.
- (k) Port of arrwal. The coastal or border port where animals first come into the United States.
- (1) Port of entry. The port where customs entry is made for imported animals.

## CERTIFICATION OF PUREBRED ANIMALS

§ 151.2 Issuance of a certificate of pure breeding. The Chief of the Branch will issue a certificate of pure breeding for an animal claimed to be entitled to free entry under the act provided the requirements of the regulations in this part are complied with. Such certificate will be issued to the collector of customs at the port of entry of the animal.

§ 151.3 Application for certificate of pure breeding. An application for a certificate of pure breeding executed by the importer of the animal or his agent may be made to the branch after customs entry has been made, on forms furnished or approved by the branch. Such an application shall show the surname of the importer and his given name, or initials, if any the address (in the United States) of the importer; the number, breed, and sex, and port and date of arrival of the animal imported; the customs entry number of the importation, and the name of the vessel or other carrier by which shipped.

§ 151.4 Pedigree certificate. A pedigree certificate for an animal listed in § 151.9, issued by the custodian of the appropriate book of record listed in said section and on which there has been entered, in accordance with the rules of entry of the registry association, a complete record of transfers of ownership from the breeder to and including the United States importer, shall be furnished by the importer or his agent to the inspector at the time of examination of the animal as provided in § 151.7. Following examination of the animal, the importer or his agent shall present the pedigree certificate to the branch at the time of making application for a certificate of pure breeding as provided in § 151.3. The branch will later return the document to the party who submitted it. A verbatim translation of the description relating to color and markings shall appear in English in the pedigree certificate for the animal or in a separate certificate appended to the pedigree certificate.

§ 151.5 Alteration of pedigree certificate. No pedigree certificate which in the opinion of the Chief of the Branch has been substantially altered will be accepted.

§ 151.6 Affidavit of identity. An affidavit by the owner, agent, or importer, duly acknowledged before an officer having authority to administer oaths, stat-

mg that the animal declared for free entry under the act is the identical animal described in the pedigree certificate, shall be furnished to the branch by the importer or his agent.

§ 151.7 Examination of animal. (a) for the purpose of determining identity, an examination shall be made by an inspector at the port of arrival of each animal for which free entry is claimed under the act.

(b) The importer or his agent shall provide adequate assistance and facilities for restraining and otherwise handling the animal and present it in such manner and under such conditions as in the opinion of the inspector will make a proper examination possible. Otherwise the examination of the animal will be refused or postponed by the inspector until the importer or his agent meets these requirements at the port of arrival.

(c) A pedigree certificate, as required by § 151.4, shall be presented at the port of arrival of the animal to the inspector making the examination in order that proper identification of the animal may be made. Removal of the animal from the port of arrival prior to presentation of such pedigree certificate shall constitute a waiver of any further claim to certification under the regulations in this part.

§ 151.8 Eligibility of an animal for certification. To be eligible for certification under the act, an animal must be purebred of a recognized breed and have been registered in good faith in a book

of record listed in § 151.9 and must not have been registered on inspection without regard to purity of breeding.

## RECOGNITION OF BREEDS AND BOOKS OF RECORD

§ 151.9 Recognized breeds and books of record. Breeds of animals and books of record listed in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section are hereby recognized. Recognition of such breeds and books of record will be continued, however, only if the books of record involved are kept by the custodians thereof in a form which is reasonably current in the opinion of the Chief of the Branch. Books of purebred registration shall be sent to the branch at Washington 25, D.C., through the United States Government Despatch Agency, 45 Broadway, New York 6, New York, U. S. A., immediately following their publication.

(a) Breeds and books of record in countries other than Canada. Books of record of the registry associations listed below are recognized for the following breeds: Provided, That no Belted Galloway cattle, Criolla or Fjordhest (formerly known as Westland) horse, Shetland or Welsh pony, dog, or cat registered in any of the books named shall be certified under the act as purebred unless a pedigree certificate showing three complete generations of known and recorded purebred ancestry of the particular breed involved, issued by the appropriate association listed below, 1s submitted for such animal.

## CATTLE

Name of breed	Book of record	By whom published
Aberdeen-Angus.	Aberdeen-Angus Herd Book	Aberdeen-Angus Cattle Society, Alexander Keith, secretary, 91 Union St., Aberdeen, Scotland.
Africander	Africander Cattle Herd Book.	The Africander Cattle Breeders' Society, under the super- vision and authority of the South African Stud Book Asso- ciation, E. L. Houcham, secretary, 49 Henry St., Bloem-
	Herd Book of the Balliwick of Guernsey (Alderney Branch). Ayrshire Herd Book	fentein, Union of South Africa.  Royal Alderney Agricultural Seciety (The Alderney Branch of the Royal Guerneye A. and H. Society), P. D. Sumner, recretary, The Bungalow, Buter, Alderney, Channel Sies.  Ayrishre Cattle Herd Book Seciety of Great Britain and Ircland, John Graham, secretary, I Raccorso Rd., Ayr,
	Davy's Devon Herd Book	THE I WHITE TELLETE HERETCOMPACT FOR COLUMN COMPACT CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY O
Dexter	Dexter Herd Book	Dester Cattle Society, A. E. Richardson, secretary, Crabtree House, Lower Beeding, Horsbam, Sussex, England. The Helter Galloway Cattle Society, J. Campbell Lainz,
Belted Galloway.	The Belted Galloway Herd Book.	recretary, Galloway Estate Office, Newton Stewart, Wig-
Galloway	Galloway Herd Book	Galloway Cattle Society of Great Britain and Ireland, Donald M. McQueen, Societary, 111 High St., Dumfries, Scotland,
Guernsey	English Guernsoy Herd Book.	English Guernscy Cattle Scelety, Col. T. M. Ker, secretary,
Do	Herd Book of the Balliwick of Guernsey (Guernsey	Royal Guernessy Agricultural and Horneutural Society,
Hereford	Branch). Herd Book of Hereford Cattle.	Peter Fort, Guerney, Channel Isles. Hereford Herd Book Seciety, R. J. Bentley, secretary, 3 Offa St., Hereford, England.
Highland	Highland Herd Book	
Holstein-Frieslan.	Friesch Rundvee-Stambock	
Do	Nederlandsch Rundvec-Stam- bock.	W. J. Dekker, Chief Administrator, Standardersplantsock
Jérsey	Jersey Herd Book	Royal Jersey Agricultural and Horticultural Society, H. C. Shepard, exertary, 3 Mulcaster St., St. Heller, Jersey, Chan- nel Ieles.
Do	English Jersey Herd Book	English Jersey Cattle Society, Edward Ashby, secretary, 19
Kerry		British Kerry Cattle Society, R. O. Hubi, secretary, The Mile-
Do	Kerry Cattle Herd Book	Royal Dublin Society, Homes H. Pools, registrat, Dans
Lincoln Red Shorthorn. Red Danish	Book.	Lincoln Red Shorthorn Society, W. Dunnaway, secretary, "Agriculture House", Park St., Lincoln, England.
	Siambog over Køer af Rød Dansk Malkemee. Siambog over Tyro af Rød Dansk Malkemee. Register-Stambog over Kvæg af Rød Dansk Malkemee.	sen, recretary, Vindezade 72, Odense, Denmark.

엉
Ž
₽.
믕
Ç
ŗ.
Ħ
7
Ö

Horses-Continued	By whom published	Tockey Club de Belgfque Lt. Colonel Hre Bon J. van Zuylen van Nyevelt, secretary, I Rue Gulmard Brussels 4 Belgtum Jockey Club Brastleto Richardo Savier da Silvetta director Avr Rio Branco, 197 Rio do Janoiro, Brazil.  Cokey Club of Peru, Oscar Berckomoyer P, president, Camana 780, Lima, Peru Sear Berckomoyer P, president, The Jockey Club, Mrs L Brennan registrar, 250 Park Ave,	Ministerio de Haclenda de la Nacion Loteria de Benedicencia  Micional y Casinos, Enzo Valenti Ferro Chief National Genealogical Register for Horses, Buenos Afres, Afrentina, Welsh Ponya & Old Soulety, A. A. George, secretary, Offices of the Royal Welse, Great Britain:	A85E3	Scolété Centrale d'Agriculture des Doux Soyres, M Marcel o Moreau president 4 rue Vielle Rose Niort, France x	Suber	Society of Border L'olcester Sheep Breeders, Robert Jarvis, Sectetary, 33 Hope St. Glasgow, C. 2, Scotland. Cheviot Sheep Society, Guy H. Armistrong, secretary, Commercial Bank Buildings, Hawlek, Scotland. The Australian Corrictale Association H T. C. Woodfall, Secretary Temple Court 422 Collins St. Melbourne, C I and C I an
I ,	Book of record	Registre des Chevaux de Pur Stud Book Brasileiro Stud Book Prasileiro American Stud Book	Birlah, Northen Ireland, Elro, of France, wholly or in part, to horses bred or born in the United States; or (6) horses from countries where a book of purobred registra ton for Thoroughbreds does not exist.) Registo Genealogico Naolo nal de Gaballos B P O Welsh Stud Book		Jack and Jonnet Section of the Stud Book ou Livre Gousalogique des animaux Mulassiers du Poltou		Border Leleester Flock Book Cheviot Sheep Flock Book The Flock Book for Corrie dale Sheep in Australia Corriedale Flock Book (New Zealand) Dorset Horn Flock Book Kent Horn Flock Book Kerry Hill Flock Book Leleester Flock Book Leleester Flock Book The New Zealand Romney Sheep The New Zealand Book Sheep Sheep The New Zealand Book Sheep
	Name of breed	Thoroughbred Do Do	Do Welsh Pony and Cob		Poitou		Border Lelcester. Cheviot Corriedale Do Dorset Horn HampshireDown Kent or Ronney Karly Hill Lelcester Lincoln Ordord Down. Ryeland Shropshire
Carix—Coutinued	By whom published	Red Poll Cattle Society of Great Britain and Ireland, Inc. A. O Burton scretary, 32 Princes St Ipswich Suffolk England. Shorthom Society of Great Britain and Ireland, Aribur Fur neum, secretary Victoria House Southampton Row, Lon don W. O. I. England. South Dovon Red Book Society, W. Or Turpitk, scretary, Susson Herd Book Society A. O. Holland scretary If Dovon Sulfa J. London, W. I. England. Welsh Black Coatile Society, G. Williams Edwards secretary, 27 Market St Cacmaryon Wales	The Arab Horse Society, Col R. O. dee V Askin secretary 38 Langham St. London, W I. England Towarzystwo Hodowil Konio Arabskiego, Dr. Edward Skor Kowski, secretary, Krakow, Miskupia 6, Poland General Livestock Administration The Minister of Agriculture Minderfie, Spain. Minderfie, Spain. Minderfie, General Livestock Administration The Minister of Agriculture Minister of England de in Nordon, Loctra de Brandsencia Genealogical Register for Horses, Buenos Aires, Argentina Genealogical Register for Horses, Buenos Aires, Argentina Somission du Studbook Françals de Per Sang. Thy of Agriculture, 78 true de Verenne (7), Paris France. Thy of Agriculture, 78 true de Verenne (7), Paris France.	Watt, secretary, 111 W Monroe St, Chicago 3 Ill	Société Royale "Le Chaval de Trait Belge, Edgard Bedoret, genntel secretary, 45a rue de l'Ecuyer Brussels Belgium Oloveland, Bay Horse, Society, Oswald Welford, secretary	JAngeus', Koxoy, Stattnes, Saltolira, Yorkshife, England. Olydesdale Horse Society of Great Britain & Ireland Robert Jaryls, societary, 33 Hop St., Glaszow, C. 2. Sociland.	Sociedad Rural Argentina Burique O. Frers Florida 400 Buenos Aires Argentina Burique O. Frers Florida 400 Buenos Aires Argentina Bragistrar Munkedamsvelan 35 VI Oslo Norway Registrar Munkedamsvelan 35 VI Oslo Norway Hacktrar Munkedamsvelan 35 VI Oslo Norway Hacktrar Munkedamsvelan 35 VI Oslo Norway Hacktrar Joudon, W. 1. England. Brilish Percenceral 1970 Society, A. E. Vysa, scaretary Owen Webb House, Gresham Road, Cambridge, England. Scotiety Hipplique Percenteronne de France, E. Lemanie, scere- tary, 7 rue Villagland. Rook Book Society, Thomas H F Myles, Scheland Poury Stud Book Society, Thomas H F Myles, Scheland Poury Stud Book Society, Thomas H F Myles, Sir, Dondon, W. 1. England. Sille Horse Society A. C. Holland sceretary, 17 Devoushire Sir, Woodbridge, Burloik, England. Sulfolk Horse Society, Raymond Keer sceretary, 6 Church Sir, Woodbridge, Studiek, England. Australian Jockey Cliub and Victoria Racing Cliub, W. J N. S. W. Australia. New Stelland Books Ollub and Victoria Racing Cliub, W. J N. S. W. Australia. Chub Hiploc de Satiadge, Alejandro Opolensky Dadian secre- tary, San Antonio 221, Saniago Chile. Caland Racing Conference, A. M. McBeath, screetary, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Sir Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. M. McBeath, screetary, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Sir Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. M. McBeath, screetary, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Str Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. M. McBeath, screetary, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Sir Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. W. McBeath, Screetery, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Str Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. W. McBeath, Screetery, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Str Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. W. McBeath, Screetery, Dominion Bldg, Mercer and Wakefield Str Wellington New Tecaland Racing Conference, A. W. McBeath, Screetery, Screetery, Tecaland Racing Conference, A. W. McBeath, Strance, Screeters Screeter, Strance Screetery,
САТПЕ	Book of record	Red Poli Herd Book Coates s Herd Book Herd Book of South Devon Cattle. Sussex Herd Book Welsh Black Cattle Herd Book	Arab Horse Stud Book Polsks, Kalega Stadna, Koul Arabskich Caysto, Krvil General Stud Book. Registro, Marticula de Gaballos de Pura Sangre. Registro Genealogico, Nacional de Oaballos SP Stud Book Françals Registre des Ohevaux de Pur Sang The Arablan Stud Book	(Recognition of this book will be restricted to Alabian horses which originate for	or trace to pure Ambian stock of that country ) Stud Book des Chevaux de Trait Bokes. Cleveland Bay Stud Book	Clydesdale Stud Book	"Registre Definitive section for Stud Book Argenti para la Raza Criolia Stambok over Fjordhest Hackney Stud Book British Percheron Stud Book British Percheron Stud Book Shire Horse Stud Book Shire Horse Stud Book Sulfolk Stud Book Australian Stud Book Australian Stud Book General Stud Book General Stud Book Australian Stud Book Registre-Matricula de Cabi for de Pura Sangre
	Name of breed	Red Poll Shorthorn South Devon Sussex Welsh	Arabian Do Do Do Do		Belgian Cleveland Bay	Clydesdale	Flordhest (for merly known as Westland) Backney Percheron Do Shetland Pony Shire Suffolk Thoroughbred Do, Do, Do, Do Do Do Do Do Do Do Do

	c	
3	9	
1	i	
:		
	Ė	
t		١
١	1	i
	į	
	į	

Dogs-Continued

I	I	wor iry,	-	fuo,	8,	g g g	ree	re- par- the rds,		I			nel lich	the tree	opy	ond	ude Hg-
		Carl Witt tzerland. up, secrete		d Hazoldino,	no Ka	n goat, Canadi a shall	wing th	the post of the po	Goats	nine.	Angora. Nublan.	egen park	lan Ken ed for si additio	Il be add soustod shall s	with a co	uld am	to incl yhich o
	hod	ollschaft, Zurich, Bwi zan Bwedr		fancy, W. oy, Englar	er, That	t, Nubia Saddle Canad	ate sho	of knowestry of the stry of the strocky animal		Π			submitt submitt	recora tot d sha part, the involvec complete	gether sign for	ow nois	nebred" breed v
	By whom published	ichen Ges Kilchberg// Capt, I' n, Sweden		f the Oat :	ed furth	merican reeds in	e certific	e generations of known and purchied ancestry of the l breed involved, issued by in National Live Stock Reco itted for such animal	новя	Jorbahles	Shester Wh Suroc-Jerzo	Lorgo Back. Toland Olina Toland Olina Yorkahiro	ed by the rated, is	ooks of ok of record i in this i record anch a	ntion, to nd form	une regi	of "pu cognized
	By w	Schweizerische Kritologischen Gesellschaft, Garl Wittwer sceretury, Beestrasse 64, Kilchberg/Zurich, Britzerland. Bronska Kenneikiubben, Gopt. Ivan Swedrup, secretary. Linnegatan 25, Stockholm, Sweden		The Governing Council of the Cat Fancy, W. A. I Roundwood Way, Banstead, Surroy, England	breed: Provided jurther, That no Kara-	kul sheep, Alpine goat, Nubian goat, or hotse of the American Saddle Canadian or Atagian breeds in Canada shall be	cerumed under one act as purested un- less a pedigree certificate showing three	complete generations of known and re- corded purebred ancestry of the par- ticular breed involved, issued by the Canadian National Live Stock Records, is submitted for such animal		1			involved, issued by the Canadian Kennel Club, Incorporated, is submitted for such dog § 151 10 Recognition of additional	breaks and books of record— Belove where of the color of the book of record shall be added to those listed in this part, the oustodian of the book of record involved shall submit to the branch a complete set of the mithered wellings of that hook in to	date of application, together with a copy of all rules and forms in force on said	und intecting the region and a mond The proposed revision would amend	the definition of "purebred" to include sheep of a recognized breed which orig-
Dogs-Continued		weizerische cretary, Sec nska Ken innegatan 2	OATS	Governín Roundwoo					Horses	College	in. n. Draft.	Organian. Organian. Inchrov. Precheron. Shedland Pony Shire. Sunfolk. Sunfolk. Weish Penyand Cob	finvolvi Club, dog	or the thick the	of alc	•	_
Dogs-				<u>                                       </u>	record in	y The National nada, of ale rec-	ls: Pro-	Records as pure- only to satisfac-	-		Arabla	October 1	tn Can- sociation Canada tary and	folstein- ociation ad Book Incorpo-	ed for all the breeds therein: Provided,	d unless	recordec Int breec
	Book of record	ohes Hur unolklubl		the Gover he Oat Far	s of re	<i>yenerali</i> nadlan I awa, Cai	ng breec	Stock the act Is trace I to the	Sheep		645. 645.	Dozest Horn Dozest Horn Hompshire Korny Hill Actoric Lincoln Nerino Oxford Down, Rycland, Smithdown, Sulfillown, Eufolk	cattle slan Ass Intailo, is secre	In the Final Ass That Ass The St I Club,	o all the	purebre	wn and
	Book o	Sohwoizerischos Hundo Stammbuch. Svonska Konnolklubbons Stambok		Register of the Governing Council of the Oat Fancy	and books	Canada—(1) Animals generally The books of record of the Canadian National Live Stock Records, Ottawa, of canada, of which R G Hodrkin is Director, as rec-which R G Hodrkin is Director, as rec-	followings	Canadian National Live Stock Records shall be certified under the act as purebied unless such animals trace only to animals which are proved to the satisfaction of the branch to be of the same	-		Corriedale		Holstein-Friesian cattle in Can- The Holstein-Friesian Association ada, Biantfoid, Ontailo, Canada, the M Clemons is secretary and	egisterections of the Sanada of Kenne	Records) is recognized for all the breeds of dogs registered therein: Provided,	That no dog so registered shall be cortified under the act as purched unless a pedigree certificate showing three com-	plete generations of known and recorded purebled ancestry of the particular breed
	reed			ļ	Breeds a	-(1) 4; record c ck Reco	for the	n Natio certified less suc which a	Cattle		rngus.	(Clacolashiro	folstein- he Hols ida, Biai h G M	is recognition in the property of the property	cords) is recognized dogs registered	o aog se nder the	neration dancest
	Name of breed	Various recog nized breeds Do		Long haired and short haired	(b) B	Canada—(1) Animals generally The books of record of the Canadlan National Live Stock Records, Ottawa, Canada, of which R G Hodrkin is Director, an erec-which R G Hodrkin is Director, an erec-	ognized	Canadia Shall be bred un animals			Aberdeen Angus. Ayrahim. Yrown Swiss	Canadan Guencay Guencay Highbad, Jerey, Red Poll. Shorthorn Red)	(2) E ada T of Cans of which	Filesian breed registered in the Holstein- Filesian Breed registered in the Holstein- Filesian Herd Book of that Association (3) Dogs in Canada The Stud Book of the Canadian Kennel Club, Incorpo-	Records of dogs	That n tified u a pedigi	plete ge
Surer-Continued	By whom published	Suffolk Sheop Society, Harry A Byford, secretary, 42 Westgate St. Tipswich Engline and St. Tipswich English Longwool Sheop Breeders Association, W Dicklingon, secretary, The Gardens, Ulverston, Lancashire,	Now Zenland Shoop Breeders' Association M. B. Lyons seero tary, P. O. Box 200, Christellurch, O. 1, New Zenland	The Australian Society of Breeders of British Sheep H. T. O. Woodfull, secretary, Tompie Court, 422 Collins St., Mol bourne, C 1, Australia	GOATS	British Goat Society, Miss M. F. Rigg, secretary, Diss, Nor folk, England	Новя	n Boyal Dublin, Leland Bridge Dublin, Leland In Receders' Association, R. F. Johnson, secretary,	69 Clarendon 1601d, Wattord,		Дося	Box Klub e. V Sitz München, Heinrich Zimmermann, prezident, 33 Otkerstraze Minchen 9, Germany.  Dentreiner, Teckethiub e V., Jozef Chalen, siudbook keeper, Dentreiner, Rinnedmid, Mozeltraze 7, Germany.  Masters of Foxhounds Accordation J. W.Fitzwilliam, cocretary, of Victoria 81, London, 8, W. J. England, E. E. Davier, Hon Core and Accordation, Johnson, J. E. Davier, Hon core tary, Inchidut, Liandinam, Montgourgyshire, East Waler Verlin für dentreho Schläfchiundo (sV), Hanns Krembelmer, Cerctary, Umerstr 3, Augsburg 3, Germany.  Deutzeler Degsen Club Richard Staadt, president, Sollagen Chilley, Elitettrae 22, Germany.	Nobert John Maldment, 349 Collins St., Melbourne O 1, Australia. Malfenal Courcing Club, Sydney H. Dalton, exertery, 11 Insymarket, London S. W. I. England. Irela Courcing Club, Arthur J. Morris, exertery, Davis Read, Clonnel, Co. Tipperry, Ireland. Accelation of Masters of Marriers and Regales, J. Pawle Hon	scretary, Little Havers, Biloty's Shortlord, England, Allgemeider Deutscher Rottweller-Klub, Mrs. Joseffied Hobb, Allgemeider Deutscher Rottweller-Klub, Mrs. Joseffied Hobb, St. Hernbards Klube, V., Fanz Hardlowinn, studbook kreper, München 12, Hegmannistrace 33, Germany, München 12, Hegmannistrace 33, Germany, and Club, Manil Catherine Fox, secretary, 23 Eden Quay, Jublin G., B. Irfelm, Manil Catherine Fox, secretary, 32 Eden Chan, Manil Catherine Fox, secretary, 43 Flox	Calilly, London, W. 1, England, Societé Centrale Cantine pour l'Ameleration des Races de Chienson France, Col. Raoui Nicole, administrative director, 3 Run de Choissenl, Paris 2 Erance,	t gocific Royale Saint Hubert R. Willocq, secretary, 391 Ohnus t see Saint Pierre, Brussels 4, Belgium Royak Konnel Klub, E. F. Olersoe, Fr., secretary, Skippergaton	721 Oslo Norway Ginter Ruppert, secretary Kelsterbach
Sm)	Book of record	Suffolk Flock Book ". Wensleydale Longwool Sheep Flock Book	Now Zenland Flock Book	Flook Book for British Breeds of Sheep in Australia		British Goat Society Herd Book (Sannen and Toggen burg Sections)			Pig Breeders'	_		Dozer Zuchtbuch Teckel Stammbuch Foxbound Kennel Stud Book. Welsh Bound Stud Book Releis Zuchtbuch (Abtell ung, Deutscho Schaler hundo). Stammbuch für Deutsche Borgen.	Antifician Creytound Sud Dook, Oreytound Stud Book Irish Greytound Stud Book Harrier and Beeste Stud Book	Relebs Zuchtbuch (Abte lung; Rottweller). Bernhardiner Zuchtbuch reish Kennel Olub Blud Doc	Livre des Origines Français	Livro des Origines de la Bogiste Royale Baint Milhert,	Retchs Zuchtbuch Abtellung:
	Name of breed	Suffolk Wensleydalo	Various rocog	Do proces		Sannen and Tog genburg		Irish Large White Berkshire	Middle White Tamworth	wessex baddio		l i g	, ; ; ;	Desile, Rottweller St. Bernard Various rocos	និ ជី	õ ä	និជី

inate in a flock for which available breeding data establish that the flock has been in existence at least ten years. It would recognize the book of record of purebred Arabian and Thoroughbred horses entitled "Registro Genealogico Nacional de Caballos de S. P C." and would require that no Shetland or Welsh Pony shall be certified under the Act as purebred unless a pedigree certificate showing three complete generations of known and recorded purebred ancestry of the breed is submitted for such animal. The revision would also incorporate certain corrections in the names, custodianship, and addresses of the associations sponsoring or publishing books of record, and make several other changes in the regulations for clarity.

Any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed revision may do so by filing them with the Chief, Animal Inspection and Quarantine Branch, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C., within fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 25th day of July 1955.

[SEAL] M. R. CLARKSON,
Acting Administrator
Agricultural Research Service.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6118; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:45 a. m.]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[ 14 CFR Part 41 ]

| Draft Release 55-181

CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES FOR SCHEDULED AIR CARRIER OPERATIONS OUTSIDE CONTINENTAL LIMITS OF U. S.

FLIGHT TIME LIMITATIONS FOR PILOTS NOT REGULARLY ASSIGNED TO ONE TYPE OF CREW

Pursuant to authority delegated by the Civil Aeronautics Board to the Bureau of Safety Regulation, notice is hereby given that the Bureau will propose to the Board an extension of the provisions of Special Civil Air Regulation SR-386B which authorizes a pilot to serve in more than one type of flight crew without incurring any penalty in terms of maximum permissive flight duty.

mum permissive flight duty.
Interested persons may pa

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Civil Aeronautics Board, attention Bureau of Safety Regulation, Washington 25, D. C. In order to insure their consideration by the Board before taking further action on the proposed rule, communications must be received by September 9, 1955. Copies of such communications will be available after Sepmitting of the proposed rule, communications will be available after Sepmitten at the proposed rule.

tember 13, 1955, for examination by interested persons in the Docket Section of the Board, Room 5412, Department of Commerce Building, Washington, D. C.

Special Civil Air Regulation SR-386B which terminates September 19, 1955, provides authority whereby a pilot may serve in more than one type of flight crew without incurring any penalty in terms of maximum permissive flight duty. This authority has heretofore been provided for an experimental period with a view to the establishment of permanent rules for such crew assignments.

The Civil Aeronautics Administration has advised the Board that the regulation is a desirable one and not subject to abuse, and that it recommends that the authority granted by SR-386B be continued. Since a proposed major revision of Part 41 of the Civil Air Regulations is being prepared for publication, the Bureau considers that it is advisable to extend the authority granted by SR-386B for another year rather than to incorporate this authority in currently effective Part 41. During the development of the revision of Part 41 further consideration and discussion will be given concerning the permanent incorporation of such a provision.

This proposed regulation will not allow evasion of the stricter limitations applicable to smaller crew combinations, but will allow assignment of a pilot in any given month to another type of crew combination without additional flight time limitation if he flies not more than 20 hours in the type of crew to which the more restrictive flight time limitations apply and if such assignment is not interrupted more than once during such month.

In view of the foregoing, it is proposed to promulgate a Special Civil Air Regulation to read as follows:

- 1. Contrary provisions of § 41.57 of the Civil Air Regulations notwithstanding, the following rules shall apply to the monthly and quarterly flight time limitations of pilots assigned to combinations of two-pilot crews, two-pilot and additional flight crew member crews, or three-pilot and additional flight crew member crews.
- 2. A pilot who is assigned to duty aloft for more than 20 hours in two-pilot crews in a given month, or whose assignment in such crews is interrupted more than once in the month by assignment to a crew consisting of two or more pilots and an additional flight crew member, shall be governed by the provisions of § 41.54.
- 3. Except for a pilot coming within the provisions of paragraph 2, a pilot who is assigned to duty aloft for more than 20 hours in two-pilot and additional flight crew member crews in a given month, or whose assignment in such crews is interrupted more than once in the month by assignment to a crew con-

sisting of three pilots and an additional flight crew member, shall be governed by the provisions of § 41.55.

4. A pilot to whom the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 are not applicable, assigned to duty aloft for a total of 20 hours or less within a given month in two-pilot crews with or without additional flight crew members, shall be governed by the provisions of § 41.56.

5. A pilot assigned to each of two-pilot, two-pilot and additional flight crew member, and three-pilot and additional flight crew member crews in a given month, who is not governed by the provisions of paragraphs 2, 3, or 4, shall be governed by the provisions of § 41.55.

It is proposed that this regulation shall supersede Special Civil Air Regulation No. SR-386B and shall be effective for a period of one year.

This regulation is proposed under the authority of Title VI of the Civil Acronautics Act of 1938, as amended. The proposal may be changed in the light of comment received in response to this notice of proposed rule making.

(Sec. 205 (a), 52 Stat. 984; 49 U. S. C. 425 (a). Interpret or apply secs. 601-610, 52 Stat. 1007-1012, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551-560)

Dated at Washington, D. C., July 22, 1955.

By the Bureau of Safety Regulation.
[SEAL] JOHN M. CHAMBERLAIN,

[F. R. Doc. 55-6180; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

# INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[ 49 CFR Ch. 1 ]

[Ex Parte 195]

REVISED RULES OF PROCEDURE BEFORE THE COMMISSION

EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING WRITTEN STATEMENTS

JULY 27, 1955.

Director

In its order and notice of proposed rule making in the above proceeding, dated May 2, 1955, the Commission set September 6, 1955, as the date by which any interested party may file with this Commission written statements containing data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed revised rules (20 F.R. 3347)

Upon request of the Association of Interstate Commerce Commission Practitioners the date has been extended, so that any interested party may file on or before January 6, 1956, with this Commission such written statements.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6179; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

## **NOTICES**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **Bureau of Land Management**

IDAHO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

JULY 20, 1955.

The United States Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial No. Idaho 05281, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the General Mining Laws, subject to existing valid claims. The applicant desires the land for administrative sites, public service sites, recreation areas, or for other public purposes as set forth specifically with regard to each area or description, within the Salmon, Sawtooth and Targhee National Forests.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

Boise Meridian, Idaho

Swan Basin Administrative Site

T. 15 N., R. 25 E., Sec. 35, SE1/4SE1/4.

Meadow Lake Public Service Site

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 13 N., R. 26 E., Sec. 24, SW1/4.

Haynes Lake Public Service Site

T. 19 N., R. 23 E. Sec. 4, SE¼SE¼ Sec. 9, NE%NE%.

Poison Creek Administrative Site

T. 18 N., R. 21 E.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

Sec. 2, Lot 1, S%N%N%.

Lake Creek Administrative Site

T. 20 N., R. 21 E., Sec. 32, SE1/4.

Jesse Creek Administrative Site

T. 21 N., R. 21 E. Sec. 2, Lot 1, S%N%N%.

Forney-Yellowjacket Highway, Roadside Zone

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of the center line of the Forney-Yellowjacket Forest Road through the following legal subdivisions:

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 19 N., R. 18 E., Sec. 3, NW1/4, Sec. 4, NE1/4.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 20 N., R. 18 E., Sec. 33, SW4, Sec. 32, SE4, NW4, Sec. 29, SW4, Sec. 30, NE14, Sec. 19, SW14.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 20 N., R. 17 E., Sec. 24, SE¼, SW¼, Sec. 25, NW¼, Sec. 26, NE%, NW%, Sec. 27, NE%, SW%, Sec. 28, SE14. Sec. 33, NE14. SE14.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 19 N., R. 17 E., Sec. 4. NE¼, SW¼, Sec. 9, NW¼.

Hunter Creek Administrative Site

T. 1 N., R. 11 E., Sec. 15, SWMNEM, WMSEMSEM, NM NWMSEM, NEMSEM.

Deer Park Administrative Site

T. 2 N., R. 12 E., Sec. 6, S1/2SW14. Sec. 7, N%NW%.

Liberal Administrative Site

T. 3 N., R. 15 E., Sec. 33, SEMNWM, EMSWMNWM, NEM NEMSWM, EMSWM.

Bowery Administrative Site

T. 8 N., R. 17 E. Sec. 31, WKSEK, EKSWK.

Bald Mountain Lookout Administrative Site T. 4 N., R. 17 E

Sec. 27, SWMNEMNEM, NWMNWMSEM NEM, EMSEMNWMNEM, NEMNEM SW!!NE!!.

Iron Mountain Administrative Site

T. 2 N., R. 12 E. Sec. 4. SWKNWK, WKNWKSEKNWK,

Lot 3: Sec. 5, S%SEKNEK, S%N%SEKNEK.

Warm Springs Creek Administrative Site

T. 4 N., R. 17 E. Sec. 16, 51/25W1/45E1/4, SE1/45E1/45W1/4. Sec. 21, NW!ANE!A, E!ANE!ANW!A.

Big Smoky Pasture Administrative Site

T. 3 N., R. 13 E., Sec. 1, SWKNWK, NWKSWK, WKSEK NWI Sec. 2, E%E%NE%SE%.

The Narrows Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 14 E.

Sec. 29. SW4SW4NW44. W4NW4SW44. Sec. 30. E4NE4SE4, SW4NE4.

Pries Hot Spring Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 14 E., Sec. 19, W½SE½SE½, E½SW½SE½, Lot 6.

Pioneer Picnic Recreation Area T. 2 N., R. 14 E

Sec. 19. NW 4 SE 14. W 14 NE 14 SE 14. SW 14 NEW, NYSEMNWM, EMWM. NWM NEK.

Boardman Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 13 E. Sec. 7, NEKNEKNEK, SKNWKNEKNEK,

semnemnem. Semnwanem. Sem SWANWANEA. EKNWASWANEA. WKNEASWANEA. Sec. 8. SEKNWA, NWANWA, NEKSWA NW4. SWANEANW4.

Lightfoot Bar Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 12 E.

Sec. 24. NEMNEM, EMEMNWMNEM. T. 3 N., R. 13 E

Sec. 18. Whnwhiseh, Sehnehswh, Sehswh. Sehswhiswh. Sec. 19, NEYNWYNWY, WYNWYNWY.

Wood River Campground and Picnic Area

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 5 N., R. 17 E

Sec. 3. Wyswyswy, Sec. 4. Syswyswy, Sec. 4. Syswynwy, Syseynwy, Ny Nwyswy, Nyneyswy, Syswy Ney, Nysey, Neyswysey, Ny Seysey, Seyseysey.

Baker Creek Recreation Area

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 5 N., R. 16 E. Sec. 9, N%NE%.

Lodgepole Campground

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 6 N., R. 17 E., Sec. 23, E½SW¼, NW¼SW¼, SW¼NW¼.

Barr Gulch Recreation Area

T. 4 N., R. 16 E. Sec. 28, S½SW¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼SW¼, NEWSEWSWW.

Willow Flat Public Recreation Area

T. 5 N., R. 18 E.,

Sec. 28, Syseysey, Seyswysey, Sec. 33, Nyneyney, Nwyney.

Chaparral Public Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 10 E., Sec. 12, Lot 1.

Abbott Public Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 10 E., Sec. 12, Lot 4.

East Fork Recreation Area

T.4 n. r. 19 e., sec. 23, syswynwy, neyswynwy, nyseynwy, swyseynwy, sey neynwy, nwyswyney, synwy ney, swyneyney.

Copper Creek: Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 21 E., Sec. 11, SE4SW4NW4, SW4SE4NW4, W4NE4SW4, NW4SE4SW4, E4

Little Smoliey Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 14 E. Sec. 32, W%NW%.

Canyon Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 13 E., Sec. 1, Lots 3, 4. T. 4 N., R. 13 E., Sec. 36, S½SW¼.

Big Horn Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 12 E. Sec. 17, NW1/4NW1/4.

Lime Creek Recreation Area

T. 1 N. R. 11 E. Sec. 3, S1/2SE1/4SW1/4. Bird Creek Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 11 E., Sec. 5, Lot 8.

Willow Creek Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 11 E., Sec. 9, Lot 7; Sec. 10, W½NW¼SW¼.

Paradise Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 13 E., Sec. 2, W½SE¼NE¼, NE¼SW¼NE¼, W½

E½NE¼SE¼.

Big Smoky Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 13 E., Sec. 9, S½SW¼SE¼, W½SE¼SE¼, NE¼ SE¼SE¼, Sec. 10, N½S½S½.

Bounds Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 13 E. Sec. 10, S½N½, N½S½.

Baumgartner Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 12 E.

Sec. 7, SW4SE4SE4, SW4SE4, SW4 NW4SE4, S4NE4SW4, N4SE4SW4, SE¼SE¼SW¼,

Sec. 18, NW4NE4NE4, N%NW4NE4.

Alturas Lake Public Service Site

T. 7 N., R. 13 E.

Sec. 24, SE1/4 SE1/4 SE1/4

Sec. 25, NE¼, SE¼, NE¼SW¼, SE¼SW¼, SW14SW14.

Sec. 26, S%SE%SE%, S%SW%SE%, S% SE¼SW¼, Sec. 35, N½NE¼, SW¼NE¼, NW¼;

Sec. 36, NW 1/4 NW 1/4.

T. 7 N., R. 14 E., Sec. 19, Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8; Sec. 20, Lots 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, W½SW¼NE¼, NW¼NW¼SE¼,

Sec. 29, Lot 1, SW!4NW¼, W½NE¼NW¼, Sec. 30, Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, N½NE¼SE¼.

Pettit Lake Public Service Site

T. 8 N., R. 13 E.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

Sec. 35, E½NE¼SE¼, E½SE¼NE¼, N½ NW¼SE¼, NW¼NE¼SE¼, S½SW¼ NE¼, SW¼SE¼NE¼, Sec. 36, N½NE¼, N½NW¼, SW¼, SE¼,

W1/2SW1/4NW1/4.

T. 8 N., R. 14 E., Sec. 31, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, NW1/4NW1/4

Little Redfish Lake Public Service Site

T. 10 N., R. 13 E., Sec. 26, Lots 7, 8, 9, 10;

Sec. 35, Lots 1, 2, NW1/4NW1/4NE1/4.

Redfish Lake Public Service Site

T. 9 N., R. 13 E.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

Sec. 2, N½NW¼NW¼, E½NW¼, SE¼SW¼ NW¼, W½SW¼, NW¾SE¼SW¼, Sec. 3, SE¼SW¼SW¼, W½SE¼SW¼, S½ NE¼SW¼, NE¾NE¼SW¼, SE¼SE¼ NW¼, SW¼SE¼, S½NW¼SE¼, NE¼ NW¼SE½, NE¾NE¼, Sec. 10, E½NE½, SEU, WU, SWU, SWU,

NW4SE4, NE4NE4, E4SE4, W4SW4SW4, Sec. 10, E4NE4, E4SE4, W4SW4SW4, S4NW4SW4, E4SE4, W4SW4SW4, E4SW4NW4, E4SW4NW4, E4Sec. 11, W4NW4NW4; Sec. 15, NE4NE4, W4SE4NE4, E4SW4NE4, E4SW4NE4, E4SW4NE4, E4SW4NE4, E4SW4, NW4NW4NW4, Sec. 16, S4SE4SW4, NE4SE4SW4, NW4SE4, NE4SE4SW4, NW4SE4, Set4SW4, Set4SW4, Set4SW4, Set4SW4, Set4SW4, Set4SW4, Set4SW4, Set4NE4, Sec. 21, E4NE4NE4, NE4SE4NE4, Sec. 21, E4NE4NE4, NE4SW4NE4, NE4SE4NE4, Set4NE4, Set4SW4NE4, NAM4SE4, Set4SW4NE4, NAM4SW4

SE¼, NW¼SE¼NW¼, NE¼SW¼, N½ NW¼SW¼, SE¼NW¼SW¼, S½SW¼ NW¼,NE½SW¼NW¼,SE½NW¼NW¼, Sec. 22, N½NW¼NW¼, SW¼NW¼NW¼, T. 10 N., R. 13 E.,

**NOTICES** 

Sec.. 35, S1/2SW1/4SW1/4.

Warm Springs Creek No. 1 Public Service

T. 4 N., R. 17 E., Sec. 31, Lots 6, 9, 10, W1/2SW1/4NE1/4, E1/2E1/2SE1/4NW1/4, N1/2NW1/4NW1/4SE1/4.

Corral Creek No. 1 Public Service Site (Unsurveyed, but what will probably be

when surveyed:) T. 5 N., R. 19 E.,

Sec. 20, SE1/4 SE1/4, E1/2 SW1/4 SE1/4 Sec. 29, NE'4NE'4, E'4NW'4NE'4, NE'4 SW¼NE¼, NW¼SE¼NE¼.

Warm Springs Creek No. 2 Public Service Site T. 3 N., R. 15 E.,

Sec. 15, SW4NW4NW4, S½SE4NW4 NW4, N½NE4SW4NW4, N½SE4 NW4, S½S½NE4NW4, SW4SW4 NW 4NE 4, NW 4NW 4SW 4NE 4.

Aspen Grove Public Service Site

T. 5 N., R. 18 E., Sec. 11, SE1/4.

Warm Springs Trailer Camp Public Service Site

T. 4 N., R. 17 E., Sec. 22, NW1/4NW1/4.

Easley Public Service Site

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

T. 5 N. R. 16 E. Sec. 10, NW1/4.

High Creek Corral Public Service Site

T. 4 N., R. 13 E

Sec. 3,  $NW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$ , Lot 6.

Trail Creek Administrative Site

T. 3 N., R. 46 E.

Sec. 30, SE1/4NW1/4, SW1/4NE1/4.

Canyon Creek Administrative Site

T. 5 N., R. 42 E. Sec. 25, W1/2 NW1/4, Lots 2, 3.

Cold Springs Administrative Site

T. 15 N., R. 43 E. Sec. 28, SE1/4 SE1/4.

Conant Administrative Site

T. 8 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 21, N1/2S1/2.

Fall River Administrative Site

T. 9 N., R. 45 E.

Sec. 31, NE1/4SW1/4, Lots 3, 4, 5.

Warm River Butte Lookout Administrative

T. 10 N., R. 44 E. Sec. 22, S1/2 SE1/4 SE1/4, Sec. 27, NE 4 NE 4.

Echo Canyon Administrative Site

T. 15 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 31, Lot 9; Sec. 32, SW1/4SW1/4, NW1/4SW1/4.

Arangee Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 6, SW1/4 of Lot 7; Sec. 7, W1/2 of Lot 1, Lot 2, Lot 3.

Shotgun Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 35, NW1/4.

Sawtelle Administrative Site

T. 14 N., R. 43 E. Sec. 10, NE'4NE'4. Red Rock Administrative Site

T. 15 N., R. 42 E. Sec. 13, S1/2SW1/4.

Reas Park Administrative Site

T. 12 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 1, Lots 1, 2, S1/2NE1/4.

Big Springs Lookout Administrative Site

T. 14 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 27, SE%SW%, W%SW%SE%.

Icehouse Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 42 E.

Sec. 6, NW1/4SE1/4, E1/2SE1/4.

Fish Creek R. S. Administrative Site

T. 4 N., R. 42 E. Sec. 18, NE 4SW 4, E 2NW 4, W 4NW 4 SE1/4.

Dry Canyon Administrative Site T. 3 N., R. 43 E.,

Sec. 31, Lot 9; Sec. 32, Lots 6, 7.

Wheaton Administrative Site

T. 3 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 10, Lot 8; Sec. 11, Lot 3.

Jackson R. S. Administrative Site

T. 11 N., R. 41 E., Sec. 34, NE1/4.

North Fork Administrative Site

T. 14 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 35, W½SE¼NE¼.

Buffalo Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 43 E. Sec. 27, Lots 7, 8, SE1/4SW1/4, W1/2SE1/4.

Flat Rock Administrative Site

T. 14 N., R. 43 E. Sec. 27, SE¼NW¼. N½NE¼SW¼, NW¼ SW¼, SW¼NW¼.

Decoster Administrative Site

T. 7 N., R. 45 E.

Sec. 10, 51/2 SE1/4 Sec. 15, N%NE%.

Grandview Administrative Site

T. 6 N., R. 43 E.,

Sec. 25, Lots 3, 4, W1/2SE1/4, E1/2SW1/4.

Rainey Creek Administrative Site

T. 2 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 33, S½SE¼NE¼, Sec. 34, SW¼NW¼, N½SE¼NW¼, S½ NE'4NW'4.

Hawley Gulch Administrative Site

T. 4 N., R. 41 E., Sec. 25, Lots 1, 2, S1/2 NE1/4.

Pony Creek Administrative Site

T. 6 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 34, SW 1/4.

Moody Creek Administrative Site

T. 4 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 4, Lots 2, 6, 7.

Garns Point Administrative Site

T 4 N., R. 43 E.,

Sec. 2, Lots 1, 2, S1/2NE1/4.

Elk Butte Lookout Administrative Site

T. 11 N., R. 43 E.,

Sec. 34, E1/2SE1/4NW1/4, W1/2SW1/4NE1/4, NE'4NE'4SW'4, NW'4NW'4SE'4.

High Point Lookout Administrative Site

T. 11 N., R. 42 E.,

Sec. 19, Lot 2.

```
Bishop Mountain Lookout Administrative
                 Site
```

T. 12 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 30, Lot 4; Sec. 31, Lot 1. T. 12 N., R. 41 E., Sec. 25, E½SE½SE½.

Warm River Fish Hatchery Administrative

T. 10 N., R. 44 E. Sec. 9, E½SE½SE¼, Sec. 10, SE½SW¼NW¼, NW¼SW¼, W½ NE48W4, N128W48W4, SW48W4, SW14.

Snake River Butte Administrative Site

T. 9 N., R. 43 E. Sec. 10, W1/2SE1/4, S1/2SW1/4NE1/4, E1/2SE1/4 sw4.

Corral Administrative Site

T. 3 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 19, NE14.

Mahogany Administrative Site

T. 4 N., R. 44 E Sec. 14, SW 1/4 NE 1/4, Lots 1, 2, 5.

Willow Creek Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 41 E. Sec. 3, Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, SW4NE4, SE4 NW¼.

Kilgore Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 38 E. Sec. 27, SE1/4, E1/2SW1/4.

Button Butte Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 39 E., Sec. 5, SE1/4.

Huntley Canyon Administrative Site

T. 12 N., R. 36 E. Sec. 15, NW 4SE 4, S 5E 4.

Medicine Lodge Administrative Site

Sec. 34, S½SE/SE¼, NE¼SE¼SE¼, Sec. 35, W½SW¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼SW¼, NW¼SW¼, W½NE¼SW¼, SE¼NW¼, E½SW¼NW¼, E½NE¼NW¼, W½SW¼ NE¼, NW¼NE¼.

Idaho Hollow Administrative Site

T. 13 N., R. 35 E., Sec. 1, SE1/4. T. 13 N., R. 36 E., Sec. 6, Lot 6.

Spencer Administrative Site

T. 12 N., R. 36 E., Sec. 13, SW1/4.

Victor-Irwin Highway F H. No. 37 (Idaho State Highway No. 31)

A strip of land 300 feet on each side of the center line of Forest Highway No. 37, through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 2 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 1, SE1/4 SE1/4

Sec. 12, SW1/4, NW1/4, N1/2NE1/4. T. 2 N., R. 44 E.,

Sec. 6, Lots 2, 3, 5, NE1/4SW1/4, NW1/4SE1/4. T. 3 N., R. 44 E.,

3 N. R. 32 E., Sec. 22, SE4, SW4SW4, Sec. 21, S%S%SE4, Sec. 23, NW4NW4SW4, S%N%,

Sec. 24 S½NW¼,
Sec. 27, N½N½NW¼, NW¼NW¼NE¼,
Sec. 28, NW¼, NW¼NE¼,
Sec. 29 SE¼, SE¼NE¼,
Sec. 31, Lots 7, 8, SE½NE¼, E½NE¼NE¼;

Sec. 32, W%NW%NW%.

T. 3 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 19, S1/2NW1/4.

No. 148-4

Teton Highway F H. No. 38 (State Highway No. 33)

A strip of land 500 feet wide on each side of the center line of Forest Highway No. 38 (State Highway No. 33) through the following subdivisions:

Sec. 30, E½NW!¼NE!¼, W½NE!¼NE!¼, SE!¼NE!¼, NE!¼SE!¼, Sec. 29, SW!¼, T. 3 N., R. 46 E. Sec. 32, NE!4NW!4, Lots 1, 2.

Rock Creek Road F H. No. 36 (Care Falls Highway, Idaho No. 47)

A strip of land 500 feet wide on each side of the center line of Forest Highway No. 36 (Cave Falls Highway Idaho No. 47) through the following subdivisions:

T. 9 N., R. 44 E., sec. 23, S½SW¼, SW¼SE¼, N½SE¼, Sec. 24, N½S½, SE!{NE!4. T. 9 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 10, S½, S½NE¼, Sec. 11, N1/2 Sec. 11, N/2.
Sec. 12, N/2N/2.
Sec. 15, N/2NW/4NW/4.
Sec. 16, SW/4, SE/4NW/4, NE/4.
Sec. 17, Lots 6, 7, 8, SE/4SE/4.
Sec. 18, S/2SW/4SE/4, Lot 6;
Sec. 19, Lot 2, SE/4NW/4, SE/4NE/4NW/4. NW! NE! T. 9 N., R. 46 E., Sec. 7, N½N½, Sec. 6, Lot 1; Sec. 5, Lot 1.

Yellowstone Park Highway U. S. 191 (Forest Highway No. 34)

A strip of land 500 feet wide on each side of the center line of Forest Highway No. 34 through the following subdivisions:

T. 9 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 5, NW4NW44, Sec. 6, E½, E½SW4, SW4SW44, Sec. 7, Lot 1. T. 10 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 19, SW14, Sec. 29, SW14SW14, Sec. 30, N14, N14SE14, N14S14SE14, Sec. 31, E14SE14, Sec. 32, W14SW14. T. 10 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 2, SW¼SW¼, Sec. 3, S½N½, NE¼SW¼, SE¼, Sec. 4, Lot 2, S½NE¼, Sec. 10, NE!4NE!4. T. 13 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 2, E!\(\frac{1}{2}\), W!\(\frac{1}{2}\). T. 14 N., R. 43 E.,

Sec. 24, N1/2SW1/4.

T. 15 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 7, NW1/4. T. 16 N., R. 44 E

Sec. 31, N/SE/4, S//NE/4, Sec. 32, SW/4NW/4, NW/4SW/4.

T. 9 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 6, W1/2.

T. 10 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 1, WY.WY. SEYSWY., Sec. 2, NEYNEY., Sec. 12, NWY. NEYSWY. WY.SEY.; Sec. 13, E1/2.

Sec. 24, NE.4. T. 10 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 19, W½, Sec. 30, W½, Sec. 31, W½.

T. 11 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 1, Lot 5, SW/4NE/4, Sec. 2, E½,
Sec. 11, W½E½, E½NE¼,
Sec. 14, E½W½, W½E½,
Sec. 23, NW¼, E½SW¼, W½SE¼,
Sec. 26, E½W½, W½E½, Sec. 34, E1/2.

Forest Highway No. 35 Reynolds Pass

A strip of land 300 feet wide on each side of the center line of Forest Highway No. 35 through the following subdivisions:

Sec. 14, Lots 1, 2, 3, SW/(SE/(4, Sec. 23, NE/(4, Sec. 24, SW/(4NW/(4, N/(2SW/(4, SE/(4,

Forest Highway No. 33, Idaho-Montana (U. S. 91)

A strip of land 500 feet wide on each side of the center line of Forest Highway No. 33 through the following subdivisions:

T. 12 N., R. 36 E. Sec. 10, NE¼NE¼, Sec. 11, W½W½, Sec. 14. NW14. T. 13 N., R. 36 E., Sec. 9. SE4. Sec. 15. W½W½. Sec. 22. W½. SW¼SE¼ Sec. 27. E½NW¼, E½.

Warm River Recreation Area

T. 9 N., R. 44 E. Sec. 7, Lots 2, 3, WKSEKNWK, WKNEK sw4.

Bear Gulch Recreation Area

T. 10 N., R. 44 E. Sec. 30, S%S%SE%, Sec. 31, NE%, Sec. 32, NW14.

Riverside Recreation Area

T. 11 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 23. Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, SWKNEK. Sec. 24, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

Little Pine Creel: Recreation Area

T. 3 N., R. 44 E. Sec. 24, S!2NE!4.

Pine Basin Winter Sports Recreation Area

T. 2 N., R. 44 E. Sec. 6, Lot 6, SE14SW14, Sec. 7, Lots 1, 2, 3, E12NW14. T. 2 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 12, E½NE¼, NE¼SE¼.

Sheep Creek Recreation Area

T. 1 S., R. 45 E., Sec. 5, Lots 1, 2, S½NE¼, SE¼, 'Sec. 4, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Sec. 3, Lots 3, 4. T. 1 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 31, S½SE¼, Sec. 32, S½S½.

Palisade Creek Recreation Area

T. 1 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 24, N½SE¼, SE¼SE¼.

Little Ell: Creek Recreation Area

T. 1 S., R. 45 E., Sec. 11, S½SW¼SE¼. Sec. 14, WYNWYNEY, EYNWY, WY

Rainey Creek Recreation Area

T. 2 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 24. E½E½NE¼. T. 2 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 19, NW14.

Sec. 17, Lots 1, 8, 9, 10, 12, SE¼SW¼, Frazier Reservoir Public Service Site Lower Palisade Lake Recreation Area SW1/SE1/4. E1/SE1/4. T. 13 N., R. 37 E., Sec. 18, Lots 10, 11; Sec. 19, Lots 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, E1/2NE1/4, SW1/4 T. 1 N., R. 45 E., .1 N. H. 45 E., Sec. 3, SE1/4SW1/4, SW1/4SE1/4, N1/2SE1/4, Sec. 3, NW1/4SE1/4, W1/2NE1/4SE1/4, N1/2SW1/4, SE1/4, NE1/4SW1/4, SE1/4, NE1/4SW1/4, SE1/4, Sec. 10, N1/2SW1/4NW1/4, S1/2NW1/4NW1/4, NE1/4NW1/4, Sec. 1, S½, Sec. 2, SE¼, Sec. 11, N½NE¼, NE¼, SE¼NW¼, E½SW¼, SE¼, Sec. 20, All; Sec. 12, N1/2. Sec. 21, All; Sec. 22, All; Sec. 23, Lot 4, W½NW¼, NW¼SW¼, Sec. 28, N½NW¼, SW¼NW¼, Sec. 29, Lots 1, 2, N½N½, Big Springs Public Service Site T. 13 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 4, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, SW4/NE4, S½NW4, Upper Palisade Lake Recreation Area T. 1 N., R. 45 E., SW1/4, NW1/4SE1/4, E1/2SE1/4, Sec. 30, Lot 1, N1/2NE1/4, NE1/4NW1/4. Sec. 5, All; Sec. 3, SW1/4, S1/2 SE1/4. Sec. 2, Lots 5, 6; Buffalo River Public Service Site Sec. 11, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, NE 4 SW 4 NW 4, Sec. 12, Lot 1, N 4 NW 4 SW 4. T. 14 N., R. 44 E. T. 12 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 6, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, SE¼NW¼, SE¼ Sec. 27, W1/2SW1/4, NW1/4, NE1/4SW1/4, NE%.
T. 13 N., R. 43 E.,
Sec. 24, Lots 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, NE%, N%NW%,
N%SW%SW%, SE%SW%, SW%SE%,
Sec. 25, NE%, E%NW%, NE%SE%. West Pine Creek Recreation Area Sec. 28, All; Sec. 29, Lots 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11, E1/2E1/2, NW1/4 T. 3 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 19, S½SE¼, Sec. 20, S½SW¼, Sec. 29, W½, Sec. 30, E½NE¼. NE1/4 Sec. 30, Lot 1; Sec. 32, Lots 1, 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, SE14SE14 T. 13 N., R. 44 E., . 13 N., R. 44 E., Scc. 19, Lots 1, 2, 3, 11, 12, N½NE¼, NE¼, NW¼, SE¼SW¼, SW¼SE¼.
Scc. 20, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, NE¼, NW¼, NE¼SW¼, N½SE¼, Scc. 29, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, NW¼NW¼, S½NW¼, S½NE¼, Scc. 30, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, NE¼NE¼, N½SE¼, Scc. 30, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, NE¼NE¼, N½SE¼, NE¼SW¼, S½NE¼, NE¼SW¼, N½SE¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¾SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¼SW¼, NE¾SW¼, NE Sec. 33, Lots 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, NW4NE4, Cold Spring Recreation Area NE14 NW14, S1/2 Sec. 34, Lots 1, 2, E1/2NW1/4, SW1/4. T. 4 N., R. 42 E. Sec. 30, Lot 1, NE'4NW'4, NW'4NE'4. Island Park Public Service Site Table Rock Recreation Area T. 12 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 3, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, W1/2SW1/4, T. 4 N., R. 41 E. NE%SW% Sec. 35, S1/2 NE1/4, SE1/4. Sec. 4, SE1/4, Sec. 31, Lots 1, 3, 4, E1/2SW1/4, SE1/4. Sec. 8, SE¼, Sec. 9, SW¼, NE¼, Sec. 17, N½NW¼, Osborne Spring Recreation Area Mill Creek Public Scrvice Site Sec. 25, SW 4 SW 4, E 5 SW 4, SE 4. T. 13 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 13, SE1/4. (Unsurveyed, but what will probably be Sec. 25, SW 45W 74, E72SW 74, E274.

T. 12 N., R. 43 E.,

Sec. 3, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S½N½, S½,

Sec. 4, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S½N½, S½,

Sec. 5, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,

SE½NE½ E½SW¼, SW¼SW¼, SE½ when surveyed:) T. 13 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 5, E½W½, W½SW¼, NW¼NW¼, Sec. 6, W½SE¼, SE¼SE¼. Indian Creek Public Service Site SE', Sec. 8, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, E½NE¼, SW¼ NE¼, W½NW¼, NW¼SW¼, NE¼SE¼, Sec. 9, All; T. 2 S., R. 46 E.; SE'4NW'4, E'28W'4, N'28E'4. Sec. 8, N½SE¼, SW¼SE¼, Sec. 9, S½S½NW¼, NW¼SW¼, N½NE¼ SW¼, N½N½SE¼, S½NE¼. Flat Rock Public Service Site Sec. 17, Lots 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, NE¼NE¼, SE¼ NW¼, W½NW¼, NW¼SW¼, E½SE¼, Sec. 18, SE¼SE¼. Sec. 19, Lot 10, SE¼, T. 14 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 25; All; Sec. 26, All; Blowout Canyon Public Service Site T. 1 S., R. 46 E., Sec. 32, Lots 1, 3, E½NE¼, Sec. 33, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, N½N½, SE¼NE¼, NE¼SW¼, S½SW¼, SW¼ Sec. 20, Lots 1, 2, 3, NE1/4, S1/2NW1/4, W1/2 Sec. 31, Lots 3, 4, NE1/4SW1/4, N1/2SE1/4. Sec. 30, Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, E½W½, E½, Sec. 31, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, E½NW¼, NE¼ Green Timber Public Service Site T. 9 N., R. 44 E. SW¼, E½, Sec. 32, W½. Sec. 34, Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, N½N½NW¼SE¼, Sec. 26, NW1/4. SE'4SE'4, Sec. 35, Lot 2, NW'4NW'4NE'4, SW'4 T. 13 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 25, S½S½, N½SW¼, Lot 8; Sec. 35, NE¼, SW¼. Lamont Public Service Site ec. 35, lot 2, nw4nw4nw4n24, sw4nsw4, ny2sw4nw4, ne4ne4, sw4, sy4ne4nw4, ne4ne4, sw4, sw4, sw4, sw4, sw4, sw4, nw4nw4, lot 7, n4ne4nw4, se4, ne4nw4, e42se4ne4, s42sw4. T. 8 N., R. 45 E. sec. 28, 5½5½5W¼, sec. 33, N½N½NW¼. T. 13 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 23, Lots 2, 7; Sec. 26, NW¼, Sec. 27, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, SE¼NE¼, N½NW¼, SW¼SW¼, E¼SE¼, Sec. 28, NE½, SE½NW¼, NE½SW¼, Lots SE1/ Squirrel Corral Public Service Site Sec. 36, Lots 2, 3, 9. T. 8 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 16, NE'4NW'4. T. 14 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 29, Lots 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, NW1/4SW1/4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, NW¼SE¼,
Sec. 29, Lots 5, 6, S½S½,
Sec. 30, S½S½S½,
Sec. 31, N½N½,
Sec. 32, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, W½, W½E½, NE¼ 51/2 SW 1/4 Crooked Creek Public Service Site Sec. 30, Lots 4, 5, 6, W½NE¼, SE¼NE¼, E½NW¼, E½SW¼, SE¼, Sec. 31, Lots 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, NW¼NE¼, T. 11 N., R. 32 E., Sec. 20, NE¼, NE¼SE¼, Sec. 21, NW¼, NW¼SW¼. E1/2 NE1/4, SE1/4 SW1/4, SW1/4 SE1/4 NE¼, Sec. 33, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, E½, SW¼, SE¼ Sec. 32, Lots 2, 3, 9, 10, W1/2NW1/4. Divide Creek Public Service Site Latham Springs Public Service Site (Unsurveyed, but what will probably be NW1/4, Sec. 34, All; when surveyed:) T. 13 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 35, All. Sec. 9, 51/251/2 T. 13 N., R. 31 E. Sec. 10, S½SW¼, Sec. 15, N½NW¼, Sec. 12, S½SW¼, Sec. 13, NW¼, Sec. 14, E½NW¼. Burns Creek Public Service Site Sec. 16, N/2 N/2. T. 3 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 11, Lot 1, SE1/4NE1/4 Howard Springs Public Service Site Paul Reservoir Public Service Site Sec. 12, Lot 1, SW4NW4. T. 16 N., R. 44 E., . 16 n., k. 44 E., Sec. 29, Lot 5, SW4SE4; Sec. 32, Lot 1, NW4NE4, S4NE4, E4 NW4, NE4SW4, N4SE4; Sec. 33, Lots 1, 2, NW4SW4. T. 13 N., R. 35 E., Moody Meadows Public Service Site Sec. 10, SW¼, Sec. 15, NW¼, W½NE¼. T. 4 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 11, E%SE%SE%. Sec. 12, W%NW%SW%, SW%SW%. Pleasant Valley Public Service Site Snow Creek Public Scrvice Site T. 13 N., R. 36 E., Crow Creek Public Service Site Sec. 30, Lots 1, 2, E½NW¼. T. 10 N., R. 45 E., T. 13 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 8, Lots 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11, NE¼NE¼, Sec. 9, All; Sec. 4, SE4, Sec. 9, NW4NE14, NE14NW14, S14NW14, N12SW14, SW14SW14. Stoddard Public Service Site T. 13 N., R. 36 E., Sec. 27, S½SW¼, Sec. 28, SE½SE¼, Sec. 10, All; Grand View Public Service Site Sec. 11, All; Sec. 14, NE¼, E½NW¼, NW¼NW¼, Sec. 15, N½NE¼, SW¼NE¼, NW¼, SW¼, Sec. 33, E½NE¼. Sec. 34, NW¼, N½NE¼, N½S½NE¼, Sec. 35, W½NW¼. T. 10 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 24, E1/2,

W%SE%,

Sec. 25, E%NE%.

Beaver Creek Public Service Site T. 13 N., R. 36 E., Sec. 35, W½NW¼.

Coal Kiln Public Service Site

T. 12 N., R. 27 E., Sec. 36, SW1/4.

Steel Creek Public Service Site

T. 13 N., R. 38 E.,

Sec. 7, SE¼NE¼, Unsurveyed E½SE¼, Sec. 8, S½, S½N½,

Sec. 9, Unsurveyed S½NW¼, N½SW¼.

Saw Creek Public Service Site

T. 13 N., R. 38 E.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

Sec. 1, W1/2SE1/4, W1/2E1/2SE1/4.

Kay Creek Public Service Site

T. 14 N., R. 39 E.

(Unsurveyed, but what will probably be when surveyed:)

Sec. 31, S½NW¼, N½SW¼.

Willow Creek Public Service Site

T. 13 N., R. 40 E.,

Sec. 9, Lots 3, 6, 7, NW1/4SE1/4.

West Rattlesnake Public Service Site T. 12 N., R. 37 E.

(Unsurveyed, but when surveyed will probably be:)

Sec. 10. E1/4.

Elk Creek Public Service Site

T. 1 S., R. 45 E., Sec. 13, S½SE¼.

T. 1 S., R. 46 E.,

Sec. 18, Lots 3, 4, E½SW¼, SE¼, Sec. 19, Lots 1, 2, NE¼NW¼, N½NE¼.

JOE T. FALLINI,
Acting State Supervisor

[F. R. Doc. 55-6082; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:45 a. m.]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 7301]

OZARK AIRLINES, INC.

NOTICE OF POSTPONEMENT OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE

In the matter of an investigation into whether the public convenience and necessity require the certification of Ozark Airlines, Inc. to provide air transportation between Peoria, Illinois and Fort Dodge, Iowa, via the intermediate points Galesburg, Illinois, Burlington, Ottumwa and Des Moines, Iowa.

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference in the above-entitled investigation now assigned to be held on July 29 is hereby postponed to August 2, 1955. This conference will be held at 10:00 a. m. (eastern daylight saving time) in Room E-206, Temporary Building No. 5, Sixteenth Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D. C., before Examiner Richard A. Walsh.

Dated at Washington, D. C., July 27, 1955.

[SEAL]

Francis W Brown, Chief Examiner.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6181; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:51 a. m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-6934]

TIM G. LOWRY

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND DATE OF HEARING

JULY 25, 1955.

Take notice that Tim G. Lowry, Applicant, an individual whose address is 135 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, filed on November 30, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicant produces natural gas in South Blanco Pictured Cliff Gas Field, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, and sells it in interestate commerce to El Paso Natural Gas Company at 10 cents per Mcf, for resale.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations, and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held on August 24, 1955, at 9:30 a. m., e. d. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters in-

volved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided*, *however*, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of section 1.30 (c) (1) or (c) (2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 11, 1955. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6165; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:47 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-3188, etc.]

SLICK-MOORMAN OIL CO. ET AL.

NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS AND DATE OF HEARING

JULY 25, 1955.

In the matters of Slick-Moorman Oil Company, et al., Docket No. G-3188; Drilling and Exploration Company, Inc., et al., Docket No. G-3208; Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company, Docket No. G-5183; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company, Docket No. G-6127.

Take notice that there have been filed with the Federal Power Commission applications as hereinafter specified:

Applicant	Address	Date filed.	Docket No.
Slick-Moorman Oil Co., et al Drilling & Exploration Co., Inc., et al Texas Pacific Coal & Gas Co Tennessee Gas Transmission Co	513 Esperson Bidg, Houston, Tex	Nov. 22, 1954	G-3193 G-3203 G-5183 G-6127

each for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing the sale of natural gas and the operation of facilities as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the respective applications, which are on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicants set forth below produce and sell natural gas to Tennessee Gas Transmission Company for transportation in interstate commerce for resale, as indicated:

Applicant		Docket No.
Slick-Moorman Oil Co., et al.	Tomball Southeast Field, Harris Coun- ty, Tex.	G-3183
Drilling & Explora- tion Co., et al.	West Ace Field, San Jacinto County, Tex.	G-3233
Texas Pacific Coal & Gas Co.	Glenmore Field, Rapides Parish, La.	G-5183

Tennessee Gas Transmission Company, in its application in Docket No. G-6127, requests authority to operate

existing facilities which have been and are now being used to receive gas from various fields and from a number of independent producers and suppliers, including those named herein.

These related matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held on August 30, 1955, at 9:30 a.m., e. d. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of Section 1.30 (c) (1) or (c) (2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

5472

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 15, 1955. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL]

Leon M. Fuquay, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6164; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-9173]

T. L. James and Co., Inc., et al.

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED CHANGES IN

T. L. James and Company, Inc., et al. (Applicant) on June 27, 1955, tendered for filing proposed changes in its presently effective rate schedule for sales subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed changes, which constitute increased rates and charges, are contained in the following designated filing which is proposed to become effective on the date shown:

Description	Purchaser	Rate schedule designation	Effective date 1	
Notice of change, dated June 1, 1955.	Louislana Nevada Transit Co.	Supplement No. 1 to FPC gas rate schedule No. 3.	July 28, 1955	

The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required 30 days' notice, or the effective date proposed by applicant if later.

The increased rates and charges proposed in the aforesaid filing have not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the said proposed changes, and that the above-designated supplement be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's General Rules and Regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I) a public hearing be held upon a date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of said proposed changes in rates and charges; and, pending such hearing and decision thereon, the above-designated supplement be and the same hereby is suspended and the use thereof deferred until December 28, 1955, and until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by Sections 1.8 and 1.37 (f) (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Adopted: July 20, 1955.

Issued: July 26, 1955.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6175; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-9174]

MIDSTATES OIL CORP.

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED CHANGES IN RATES

Midstates Oil Corporation (Applicant) on June 30, 1955, and July 5, 1955, tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed changes, which constitute increased rates and charges, are contained in the following designated filings which are proposed to become effective on the dates shown:

Description	Purchaser	Rate schedule designation	Effective Date 1
Notice of change, dated June 27, 1955. Notice of change, dated July 1, 1955.		Supplement No. 4 to FPC gas rate schedule No. 11. Supplement No 4 to FPC gas rate schedule No. 7.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required 30 days' notice, or the effective date proposed by applicant if later.

The increased rates and charges proposed in the aforesaid filings have not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly-discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the said proposed changes, and that the above-designated supplements be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's General Rules and Regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I) a public hearing be held upon a date to be fixed by notice of the

Secretary concerning the lawfulness of said proposed changes in rates and charges; and, pending such hearing and decision thereon, the above-designated supplements be and the same hereby are suspended and the use thereof deferred until December 31, 1956, in the case of Supplement No. 4 to FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 11 and January 5, 1956, in the case of Supplement No. 4 to FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 7, and until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by sections 1.8 and 1.37 (f) (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: July 20, 1955.

Issued: July 26, 1955.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

Leon M. Fuquay, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6176; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-6936]

A. PLACK CARR

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND DATE OF HEARING

JULY 25, 1955.

Take notice that A. Plack Carr, an individual whose address is 6800 Hunters Glen Road, Dallas 5, Texas, filed on November 30, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicant produces natural gas in the Hugoton Field, Texas County, Oklahoma, and sells it in interstate commerce to Cities Service Gas Company for resale. The price is 10 cents per Mcf.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and

to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held on August 24, 1955, at 9:45 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission. 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of Section 1.30 (c) (1) or (c) (2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 11, 1955. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6166; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-8518]

ORANGE GROVE OIL & GAS CO. AND H. J. MOSSER

NOTICE OF ORDER MAKING EFFECTIVE PROPOSED RATE CHANGES

JULY 26, 1955.

Notice is hereby given that on July 12, 1955, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 8, 1955, making effective proposed rate changes upon filing of bond to assure refund of excess charges in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6173; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. ID-1003 etc.]

HALSEY C. EDGERTON ET AL.

NOTICE OF ORDERS AUTHORIZING APPLICANTS
TO HOLD CERTAIN POSITIONS

JULY 26, 1955.

In the matters of Halsey C. Edgerton, Docket No. ID-1003; Samuel M. Hamill, Jr., Docket No. ID-1200; Eugene Gordon, Docket No. ID-1266.

Notice is hereby given that on July 12, 1955, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 8, 1955, authorizing applicants to hold certain positions pursuant to section 305 (b) of the Federal Power Act in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6174; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:49 a. m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 812-926]
Dodge & Cox Fund

NOTICE OF FILING FOR ORDER PERMITTING REDUCED PUBLIC OFFERING PRICES ON PURCHASES OF COMPANY SHARES BY TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS

JULY 25, 1955.

Notice is hereby given that Dodge & Cox Fund ("Fund") a registered open-

end diversified investment company, has filed an application pursuant to section 6 (c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order of the Commission exempting from the provisions of section 22 (d) of the Act the offering of shares of the Fund at net asset value and without a sales load to certain tax-exempt organizations.

The regular public offering price of the shares of the Fund is equal to their net asset value plus a sales charge payable to Dodge & Cox, principal underwriter of the shares of the Fund, equal to 2 percent of net asset value on sales up to \$25,000, 1 percent on sales from \$25,000 to \$75,000 and none thereafter. The sales charge is computed on a cumulative basis as applied to the total of all purchases by any one person.

In addition, the company desires to accept subscriptions at net asset value without a sales charge, from charitable, religious, educational and other organizations exempt from taxation under section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 as well as from pension, profit sharing and other employees' benefit plans exempt from taxation under section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Section 22 (d) of the Act, with certain exceptions not pertinent here, prohibits registered investment companies from selling their redeemable securities to any person, other than a dealer or principal underwriter, at a price less than the current public offering price described in the prospectus. The offering of shares of the Fund at net asset value, without a sales charge, may involve an offering of its shares below the normal offering price, in contravention of the provisions of Section 22 (d) of the Act. Accordingly, the Fund has filed the instant application for an order of the Commission exempting the offering of its shares to the abovementioned organizations without a sales load from said provisions of the Act.

Section 6 (c) of the Act authorizes the Commission by order upon application conditionally or unconditionally to exempt any transaction from any provision of the Act or of any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that the Commission finds that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

It is applicant's belief that such an exemption would be appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes intended by the policy and provisions of the Investment Company Act. It is its further belief that such an exemption would be consistent with the Congressional policies evidenced by sections 501 (c) (3) and 401 of the Internal Revenue

For a more detailed statement of the matters of fact and law asserted, all interested persons are referred to said application which is on file in the office of the Commission in Washington, D. C.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than August 8, 1955, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter and may request that a hearing be held, such request stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. At any time after said date, the application may be granted as provided in Rule N-5 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6167; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:48 a. m.]

# INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JULY 27, 1955.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

## LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 30887: Motor-rail rates—Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad et al. Filed by Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent, for interested rail and motor carriers. Rates on various commodities in loaded highway trailers on railroad flat cars between St. Louis, Mo., and Oklahoma City, Okla.

Grounds for relief: Competition with motor carriers.

Tariff: Supplement 26 to Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau MF-I. C. C. 223.

FSA No. 30888: Iron and steel articles—Chicago, Ill., group to Indiana. Filed by H. R. Hinsch, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on iron and steel articles, carloads, from Chicago, Ill., and points in the Chicago district in Illinois and Indiana grouped with and taking the same rates as Chicago to Michigan City, Ind., and eleven other Indiana points named in exhibit "B" of the application.

Ground for relief: Circuitous routes. Tariff: Supplement 204 to Agent Hinsch's I. C. C. 3388.

FSA No. 30889: Newsprint—Coosa Pines, Ala., to Florida. Filed by R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on newsprint paper, carloads from Coosa Pines, Ala., to Miami, St. Petersburg, and Tampa, Fla.

Grounds for relief: Market competition and circuity.

Tariff: Supplement 14 to Agent Spaninger's I. C. C. 1466.

FSA No. 30890: Steel and wrought iron pipe to Kansas, Oklahoma, and Southwest Missouri. Filed by F C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on steel and wrought iron pipe, carloads from specified points in official, Illinois, and western trunk-line territories to specified points in Kansas, Oklahoma, and southwestern Missouri.

Grounds for relief: Barge and bargetruck competition, market competition

and circuity.

Tariff: Supplement 35 to Agent Kratzmeir's I. C. C. No. 4116 and five other tariffs.

FSA No. 30891. Class rate exceptions, from, to and between points east of the Rocky Mountains. Filed jointly by J. G. Kerr, H. C. Barron, E. V Hill and R. G. Raasch, Agents, for carriers respondents in Docket 28300, and for other carriers. parties to Uniform Freight Classification No. 2, Agent Geo. H. Dumas' I. C. C. No. A-2. Rates on various commodities, on which rates are based on exceptions to uniform freight classifications ratings, from, to and between points in the United States east of the Rocky Mountains.

Grounds for relief: Maintenance of higher and different levels of class rates on class exceptions rates, at intermediate points in one or more subdivisions of the territory included in Docket 28300.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy. Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6177; Filed, July 29, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMIN-ISTRATION

[Project No. 3-DC-01] FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING

PROSPECTUS FOR PROPOSED BUILDING IN SOUTHWESTERN PORTION OF THE DIS-TRICT OF COLUMBIA

Editorial Note: This prospectus of proposed Project Number 3-DC-01 is published pursuant to section 412 (f) of the Public Buildings Purchase Contract Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 150, 84th Congress, which requires publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER for a period of ten consecutive days from date of submission to the Committees on Public Works of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Project Number 3-DC-01

PROSPECTUS FOR PROPOSED BUILDING UNDER TITLE I, PUBLIC LAW 519, 83D CONGRESS, 2D

FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.

A. Brief description of proposed building: The project contemplates the erection of a Federal Office Building on a site to be acquired in the Southwest redevelopment

The proposed building will be a six-story and penthouse structure, stone exterior, with cafeteria included, and air conditioned throughout. It will have a gross floor area of 815,000 square feet, that will provide 558,000 square feet of net space, of which 500,000 square feet will be office area, 10,000 square feet for shops, 34,000 square feet for cafeteria, and 14,000 square feet for custodial, health unit, etc.

B. Maximum cost and financing:

1. Total over-all value of project	\$20, 200,000
a. Items not included in purchase contract:  (1) Architectural\$995,000  (2) Land	\$3,495,000
b. Purchase contract costs:	401 4001 000
(1) Improvements 10 2. Contract Term 10 3. Maximum rate of interest on purchase contract	to 25 years
1. 25 Year Contract Term: a. Purchase contract payments:	
(1) Amortization and interest	\$1,320,533
b. Costs not included in purchase contract payments:  (1) Custodial and utilities	\$620,000
c. Total Estimated Annual Cost	
2. Second 25 Year Term:	
a. Custodial and utilities b. Repairs and maintenance	\$538,000 160,000
c. Total Estimated Annual Cost	
a. Total Estimated Annual Cost	
4. Annual Rental Costs for Comparable Space (Net Agency) Rate per net sq. ft. \$3.94.	-
5. Maximum Annual Payment Permitted	\$3,030,000

Note: All estimates based on 1955 price levels.

D. Present annual rental and other housing costs:

	Net sq.	Unit cost	Total cost
Existing Tempo's 4, 5 and T     (or comparable space), to     be supplanted by proposed building	500, 522	\$0.99	\$495, 760

E. Justification of project:1. Lack of Suitable Space:

a. The needs for space for the permanent activities of the Federal Government cannot be satisfied by utilization of existing Government-owned space.

b. Suitable rental space of comparable sort and characteristics is not available at a price commensurate with that to be afforded through the contract proposed.

c. The space requested and proposed is

needed for permanent activities of the Federal Government.

d. The best interest of the Government will be served by taking the action proposed.

2. Existing Conditions:

During the past several years there has been an active and widespread movement on the part of the public and Governmental agencies, notably the Commission of Fine Arts, concerning the removal of World War I and II Tempos and the restoration of the park lands:

Data compiled as of December 31, 1954, indicates that the Federal Government is currently utilizing four (4) World War I Tempo's, providing 2,083,903 square feet of net agency space, with 16,506 personnel; and 35 World War II Tempo's, providing 3,585,063 square feet, with 22,623 personnel. In summary, 39 Tempo's, providing a total of 5,668,966 square feet of net agency space, with aggregate personnel of 39,329. The aforementioned figures do not include space or personnel of the Central Intelligence Agency.

The Congress, long sympathetic to the insistent demand for the razing of the Tempo's has considered several proposed bills to accomplish this purpose. Among these was S 1290, passed in the Senate on June 8, 1955, and enacted as Public Law 150, 84th Congress, approved July 12, 1955. That law expressly manifests the intent of Congress that (1) provision of accommodations for executive agencies by GSA as a part of the program for redevelopment of the southwest portion of the District of Columbia be accom-plished on a lease-purchase basis and (2) temporary space of equivalent occupancy bo demolished.

The proposed building will provide approximately 509,000 square feet of net office space, to accommodate equivalent personnel dis-possessed from temporary buildings contem-plated for initial demolition under current long-range planning programs.

3. Direct and Indirect Benefits Expected to Accrue.

a. Agencies whose related operations are scattered among two or more locations will be able to concentrate all of them in a single location and thereby realize appreciable economies deriving from such factors as contiguity of operating elements, immediate accessibility of employees and records, and elimination of transportation and communication delays.

b. The accommodation of Federal agencies in a single building will provide flexibility in making internal reassignments of agency space where increases or decreases in requirements occur.

c. The proposed building will be functional in concept and devoid of excessive embellishment and extravagant appointments. bellishment and extravagant appointments. The design of the building and facilities will provide for the utmost economy in construction; maintenance and operation costs considered. It will be provided with modern fittings, appointments and conveniences comparable to those provided in buildings of private enterprise. Maintenance and improvement of employee morale and the consequent increasing of employee efficiency over a period of years may thus be confidently expected to result in intangible though nonetheless real economics. F. Analysis of project space:

- 1. Since this project is intended to provide for relocation of numerous Federal activities now housed in temporary buildings, no specific allocation of space among agencies can be made. Therefore requirement for Certificate of Need otherwise required by Section 411 (e) of the Public Buildings Purchase Contract Act of 1954 was waived in Public Law 150, 84th Congress.
- 2. Space:
  - a. Distribution:

Anney		Tempo's 4, 5, and T proposed					
Agency	Net sq. ft.	Personnel	Net sq. st.	Perconnel			
The specific allocation of agencies to be quartered in the proposed building has not been presently determined.  Subtotal, Agency Space			500,000 22,000 2,000 34,000	3,700 132 3 60 3,835			
b. Utilization:  Agency Space—sq. ft. per person Total Space—sq. ft. per person c. Efficiency Ratio, net to gross (net a G. Analysis of project cost: 1. Costs of Improvements—Normal: a. Construction b. Elevator c. Air Conditioning d. Interest, taxes, etc., during constru Cost per gross sq. ft. \$18.60. 2. Costs of Improvements—Additional: a. Approaches & utilities b. Steam connection c. Stone face d. Contingencies  3. Total Cost of Improvement 4. Costs Not Included in Purchase Contract: a. Architectural b. Land to be acquired (Est. Cost)	assignable	312, 	250, 000 430, 000 750, 000 730, 000 120, 000 525, 000 750, 000				
5. Total over-all value of project			_	\$3,495,000 \$20,200,000			

H. Other selected data:

1. The proposed contract provisions will not exceed the amount necessary to:

a. Amortize principal.

b. Provide interest not to 4% of the outstanding principal.

c. Reimburse contractor for the cost of taxes and interest during construction.

d. Reimburse contractor for proportional charge for redevelopment general area, streets and utilities.

It is proposed to make awards on financing and construction by competition.
 Estimated completion date for the proj-

Estimated completion date for the project is 40 months from date of final approval.

4. Taxes computed on basis of 75% ratio and \$22.00 per \$1,000.

5. Insurance included during construction only as part of total cost borne by construction contractor. During post-construction period Government will act as self-insurer.

Project Number 3-DC-01

Submission

Submitted at Washington, D. C.

Recommended:

[S] PETER A. STROBEL, Commissioner of Public Buildings Service, General Services Administration.

Approved:

[S] A. E. SNYDER,
Acting Administrator,
General Services Administration.

Statement of Director, Bureau of the Budget
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

BUREAU OF THE BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Project 3-DC-01 Federal Office Building, Southwest Redevelopment Area, Washington, D. C.

Jour 22, 1955.

My Dear Mr. Mansure:
Pursuant to section 411 (e) (8) of the
Public Buildings Purchase Contract Act of
1954 (Public Law 519), the proposal for a
Federal Office Building, transmitted with
your letter of June 28, 1955, has been examined and in my opinion "is necessary and
in conformity with the policy of the President." This approval is given with the following understandings:

1. That the project cost of \$20,200,000 (including \$2,500,000 for land to be acquired) is a maximum figure.

2. That the reported annual operating cost of existing Tempos 4, 5 and T, i. e., 99¢ per sq. ft., represents minimum maintenance in anticipation of demolition, and that tempofary Government buildings actually cost more to maintain than the proposed new building.

3. That the proposed building will house some 10 percent of Federal employes presently housed in temporary buildings, and that the specific allocation of agencies in the proposed building is to be determined later by GSA.

4. That every effort will be made to design and construct space conducive to maximum efficient utilization and to take advantage of any revision of cost downward which may be found possible as the plans develop and negotiations are advanced.

You appreciate, of course, that this project will receive a more detailed review as to cost and space utilization prior to approval of the lease-purchase agreement.

Sincerely yours,

[Signed] ROWLAND HUGHES,
Director.

HON. EDMUND F. MANSURE,

Administrator, General Services Administration, Washington 25, D. C.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6130; Filed, July 26, 1955; 10:09 a.m.]

# RULES AND REGULATIONS

## TITLE 24—HOUSING AND HOUSING CREDIT

Chapter II — Federal Housing Administration, Housing and Home Finance Agency

Subchapter C-Mutual Mortgage Insurance and Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance

PART 221—MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE; ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS OF MORT-GAGE COVERING OME- TO FOUR-FAMILY DIVELLINGS

Section 221.17 (a) (4) is amended by adding at the end thereof, before the semicolon, a proviso as follows:

§ 221.17 Maximum amount of mortgage and mortgagor's minimum investment. (a) • • •

ment. (a) \* \* \* Provided, That with respect to a mortgage insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955, and thereafter so long as this proviso shall remain in effect, the mortgage shall not exceed 88 percent (93 percent if the dwelling is approved for insurance prior to the beginning of construction) of \$9,000 of the appraised value of the-property (as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance) and 73 percent of such value in excess of \$9,000.

Section 221.18 is amended by adding to the first sentence thereof a proviso as follows:

§ 221.18 Payments and maturity dates.
• • • Provided, That with respect to a mortgage insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955, and thereafter so long as this proviso shall remain in effect, the maturity shall not exceed 25 years from the date of insurance of the mortgage.

In § 221.42 (b) the introductory text is amended to read as follows:

§ 221.42 Eligibility of miscellaneous type mortgages.

(b) A mortgage may be in an amount not exceeding 90 percent (88 percent in the case of a mortgage insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955) of the appraised value of the mortgaged property as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance if.

Section 221.42 is amended by adding at the end thereof a new paragraph as follows:

§ 221.42 Eligibility of miscellaneous type mortgages. \* \* \*

(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section and so long as this paragraph shall remain in effect, a mortgage of the character described in paragraph (c) insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955, shall not exceed 93 percent of the appraised value of the property as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance; or with respect to a mortgage of the character described in paragraph (d) shall not exceed 83 percent of the appraised value of the property as of the date, the-mortgage is accepted for insurance.

Subchapter F—Rehabilitation and Neighborhood Conservation Housing Insurance

PART 261—HOME REHABILITATION INSUR-ANCE: ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS OF MORTGAGE COVERING ONE- TO ELEVEN-FAMILY DWELLINGS

Section 261.7 (a) is amended by adding thereto a new subparagraph as follows:

§ 261.7 Maximum mortgage amount; loan-to-value limitation. (a) \* \* \*

(3) 93 percent of the appraised value of the property as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance with respect to a mortgage of the character described in subparagraph (1) or with respect to a mortgage of the character described in subparagraph (2) not in excess of 73 percent of the appraised value in excess of \$9,000 as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance if the mortgage is insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955.

PART 266—Home Relocation Insurance; Eligibility Requirements of Mort-GAGE Covering Single Family Dwel-Lings

In § 266.6 subparagraphs (a) and (b) are amended to read as follows:

§ 266.6 Maximum mortgage amount; loan-to-value limitation. \* \* \*

(a) 95 percent (93 percent with respect to mortgages insured pursuant to applications received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955) of the appraised value, as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance, where the mortgagor is the occupant of the property, or

(b) 85 percent (83 percent with respect to mortgages insured pursuant to applications received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955) of the appraised value, as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance, if the mortgagor is not the owner and occupant, provided (1) the property is to be built or acquired or rehabilitated for sale, and (2) the insured mortgage financing is required to facilitate the construction or the repair or rehabilitation of the dwelling and provide financing pending the subsequent sale thereof to a qualified owner occupant.

PART 225—SERVICEMEN'S MORTGAGE IN-SURANCE; ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS OF MORTGAGE

Section 225.4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 225.4 Maximum mortgage amount; ratio of loan-to-value limitation. The mortgage shall be in an amount not to exceed 95 percent (93 percent with respect to a mortgage insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955) of the appraised value of the property as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance.

## Subchapter N—National Defense Housing Insurance

PART 294—ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE HOUSING INSURANCE

In § 294.10 the first sentence is amended to read as follows:

§ 294.10 Maximum amount of mortgage. The mortgage must involve a principal obligation in an amount not in excess of 90 percent (88 percent in the case of a mortgage insured pursuant to an application received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955) of the appraised value as of the date the mortgage is accepted for insurance of a property urban, suburban or rural, upon which there is located a dwelling designed principally for residential use for not more than two families in the aggregate, which is approved for mortgage insurance prior to the beginning of construction, the construction of which is begun after September 1, 1951.

Section 294.11 is amended by adding to the first sentence thereof a proviso as follows:

§ 294.11 Maturity. \* \* \* Provided. That with respect to mortgages insured pursuant to applications received by the Commissioner on or after July 30, 1955, and thereafter so long as this proviso shall remain in effect, the maturity shall not exceed 25 years from the date of insurance of the mortgage.

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U. S. C. 1715b; sec. 907, 65 Stat. 301; 12 U. S. C. 1750f).

Issued at Washington, D. C., July 30, 1955.

NORMAN P MASON, Federal Housing Commissioner.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6259; Filed, July 29, 1955; 5:00 p. m.]

# TITLE 38—PENSIONS, BONUSES, AND VETERANS' RELIEF

Chapter I—Veterans Administration

PART 36—SERVICEMEN'S READJUSTMENT ACT OF 1944

SUBPART A-TITLE III; LOAN GUARANTY

1. A new § 36.4356 is added as follows:

§ 36.4356 Maturity and down payment limitations. Pending possible changes in economic conditions and ment limitations. until further legal changes (regulatory or statutory) no loan for the purchase or construction of residential property proposed to be guaranteed under section 501 of the Act on which the request for appraisal is received on or after July 30. 1955, shall be eligible for guaranty unless the veteran makes a cash payment of not less than two percent of the purchase price of the property and unless the maturity is not in excess of 25 years and 32 days, except that this requirement shall not apply to loans made solely for the replacement or reconstruction of residential property which has been destroyed or substantially damaged by flood, fire or other similar casualty.

- 2. In § 36.4503 a new paragraph (e) is added as follows:
- § 36.4503 Amount and amortization.
- (e) With respect to any direct loan for the purchase or construction of a farm residence or other dwelling on which the application for such loan is received on or after July 30, 1955, the veteran shall be required to make a cash payment of 2 percent of the purchase price of the property.
- 3. Section 36.4505 is revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4505 Maturity of loan. The maturity of a loan shall not exceed 20 years. except that if Veterans Administration determines that the income and expenses of a veteran-applicant at the time of his application for a loan, or at the time of closing of the loan, are such that under customary credit standards he would be unable to maintain the required schedule of amortized payments for a loan which matures in 20 years, but that taking into consideration the veteran's current and prospective income and expenses he would be able to make the payments on the loan if amortized over a longer period of time, the loan may be made with a maturity for such longer period of time but not in excess of 25 years and 32 days: Provided. That in no event will the maturity exceed the estimated economic life of the property securing the loan. Nothing in this section shall preclude extension of the loan pursuant to the provisions of § 36.4506.

(Sec. 504, 58 Stat. 293, as amended; 38 U. S. C. 694d)

This regulation is effective July 30, 1955.

[SEAL] H. V Hickey,
Administrator of Veterans Affairs.

[F. R. Doc. 55-6252; Filed, July 20, 1955; 5:00 p. m.]